7.1: In a second positive DOT discharge, failure to follow procedure does not result in rejection of test results - Discharge sustained.



REVIEW COMMITTEE

RECEIVED FEB 2 3 1995



PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 201 MISSION STREET, ROOM 1508 MAIL CODE P15B P.O. BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94177 (415) 973-8510

CASE CLOSED FILED & LOGGED FFR 2 3 1995 INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W P.O. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (510) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

RICK R. DOERING, CHAIRMAN

DECISION
LETTER DECISION
PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

Review Committee Decision

Review Committee File No. 1776 Local Grievance No. OAK-94-54

<u>Grievance Issue:</u> Termination of the grievant for a second violation of the Company's Drug Free Pipeline.

Facts of the Case:

The grievant was terminated April 7, 1994, after it was determined that he was positive for drugs for a second time.

On March 23, 1994, the grievant was required to provide a urine sample. In the morning of March 23 the grievant failed to provide on adequate urine specimen. In the afternoon of March 23 the grievant was required to provide another specimen.

When the second specimen was provide several procedural errors were made by the collector. The collector left the grievant alone with the specimen while he gathered his paperwork. The grievant left his specimen in the restroom unattended. The restrooms were not properly marked.

Discussion:

The Union argued that the Company failed to follow the process negotiated between the parties and the urine specimen should not be used and the results disregarded.

The Company agrees that there was technical breech of chain of custody but the specimen and results are valid. There was no plausible motive or realistic opportunity for the grievant's sample to have been tampered with.

In order for the sample to have been tampered with the third party would of had a minuscule period of time to enter restroom, add cocaine metabolites as benzoylegonine to specimen and would of had to know when and where the grievant was being tested.

Disposition:

The Committee agrees that there was a breech in policy during the second collection that day. This breech of policy however, does not justify discarding the results of the test. The Committee agrees that there was no credible evidence that the specimen had been tampered with or altered in any manner.

Based on the above, the Committee agrees that the termination was for just cause. The Company also committed to redouble their efforts that collectors are properly trained in PG&E procedures and requirements.

This case is closed.

For the Company:

Shan Bhattachruya

Bill Blastic

Bill McLoughlin

John A. Moffat

/Chairman

Review Committee

2/23/95

For the Union: William Bouzek

James Lynn Sherrick Slattery

Roger W. Stalcup

Secretary

Review Committee

Date