

PG

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 245 MARKET STREET, ROOM 444 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 (415) 781-4211, EXTENSION 1125

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

CASE CLOSED APR 3 0 1981 LOGGED AND FILED

202, UTILIZATION OF RELIEF SHIFT EMPLOYEES



INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. P.O. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

REVIEW COMMITTEE DECISION

DECISION Shasta Division Grievance No. 13-122-80-6 **DLETTER DECISION** Review Committee File No. 1518-81-2 **DPRE-REVIEW REFERRAL**

Subject of the Grievance

The grievance concerns the claim by the grievant, a Relief System Operator, that he was denied an opportunity to fill a shift in accordance with the Labor Agreement clarification, Titles 202, 205 and 208, Utilization of Relief Shift Employees.

Facts of the Case

On February 21, 1980, a System Operator who was scheduled to work the 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. shift called in sick prior to the start of the shift. The supervisor assigned the Relief Operator who was working his regular hours of work, 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., the duties of reading the unattended stations which normally would have been read by the absent employee. The issue in dispute can be narrowed down to one of whether the Company was contractually obligated to reassign the Relief Operator to the absent employee's shift. The Review Committee is not in disagreement that supervisor has the right to determine whether a shift will be filled; and in this case, the Joint Statement of Facts indicate that the absent employee's shift was not filled and a contractual violation did not occur. However, the Review Committee is in agreement that if supervision intended to fill the shift, it would be for the full eight-hour period as provided for in Pre-Review Committee Case Nos. 302 and 303.

Decision

It is the decision of the Review Committee that a contractual violation did not occur, and the case is closed without adjustment.

FOR COMPANY:

FOR UNION:

L. C. Beanland
F. C. Buchholz
J. B. Stoutamore
D. J. Bergman
By Dank Summer
Date 4-27-81

P. Pelucca G. W. Abrahamson W. H. Burr R. W. Stalcup By Date



PG™E

IBEW 🔘

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF

WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596

ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO

LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W.

P.O. BOX 4790

(415) 933-6060

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 245 MARKET STREET, ROOM 444 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94108 (415) 781-4211, EXTENSION 1125

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

OPRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

GLETTER DECISION

DECISION

Pipe Line Operations Grievance Nos. 11-4-76-4 and 11-5-76-5 P-RC 302 and 303 Partial Filling of Vacant Watch; Relief Employee

June 23, 1977

MR. R. W. HORTON, Chairman Pipe Line Operations Joint Grievance Committee

The above-subject grievances have been discussed by the Pre-Review Committee prior to their dc keting on the agenda of the Review Committee and are being returned, pursuant to Sections 1B(1) and (2) of the Review Committee procedure, to the Joint Grievance Committee for settlement in accordance with the following:

Not Assigned to Fill Vacant Watch

The grievances concern the alleged violation of the Labor Agreement Clarification, Titles 202, 205 and 208, Utilization of Relief Shift Employees. In Grievance No. 11-4-76-4, an Assistant Compressor Plant Operator at Hinkley was absent on May 3, 1976, on the third shift; and in Grievance No. 11-5-76-5, the Assistant Compressor Plant Operator on the first shift was absent; and in both instances, supervision did not fill the watches pursuant to the Labor Agreement Clarification inasmuch as the watches were not filled for the entire eight-hour shift. The grievants are alleging that the Clarification makes it mandatory to fill a watch for the entire period, and the Labor Agreement Clarification does not provide for filling of "part of a watch." This issue is not new to the Pre-Review Committee, although it is a slight variation from Pre-Review Committee No. 204 (Pipe Line Operations Grievance No. 11-75-1). In Pre-Review Committee No. 204, the Labor Agreement Clarification obligates supervision to replace an absent shift employee for a watch and must do so pursuant to the Clarification, Titles 202, 205 and 208, Utilization of Relief Shift Employees, dated November 1, 1967.

The Joint Statement of Facts indicates that in both cases the Company elected not to fill the watch, and in Grievance No. 11-5-76-5, the absent employee's duties were assigned to relief, and in Grievance No. 11-4-76-4, an Assistant Compressor Plant Operator was held over from the second shift for two hours to assist the relief who was apparently assigned the relief of the Senior Compressor Plant Operator and Compressor Plant Operator. The Pre-Review

P-RC 302 and 303

Committee, notwithstanding the timeliness of the referrals to the Review Committee, is of the opinion that the Labor Agreement Clarification, Titles 202, 205 and 208, Utilization of Relief Shift Employees, dated November 1, 1967, provides for the assignment of duties to an employee on the same watch in the same or higher classification, as was done in Grievance No. 11-5-76-5. Further, the Clarification allows for the assignment of employees for less than a watch or an eight-hour work period. However, in Grievance No. 11-4-76-4, the absent employee was gone for the eight-hour shift, and the partial filling of the shift, in this case, was improper, and the grievant was entitled to be called pursuant to Section C3(c) of the Labor Agreement Clarification, Titles 202, 205 and 208, Utilization of Relief Shift Employees, dated November 1, 1967.

-2-

These cases are considered closed on the basis of the foregoing and the adjustments provided herein, and the closures so noted in the minutes of your next Joint Grievance Committee meeting.

D. J. BERGMAN, Chairman Review Committee

L. N. FOSS, Secretary Review Committee

DJB:rto

cc: HPPrudhomme IWBonbright LVBrown Personnel Managers

