INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF FLECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-C10 Oakland 12. California 1918 Grove Street LOCAL UNION 1245

February 13, 1964

Mr. L. V. Brown, Chairman Review Committee Pacific Gas & Electric Company 245 Market Street San Francisco 6, California

Dear Mr. Brown:

The following Review Committee cases are being withdrawn by the Union for the reasons noted on the enclosed statements:

- R. C. #421, General Construction Grievance #287
- R. C. #426, San Francisco Division Grievance #176
- R. C. #442, Drum Division Grievance #15-63-2
- R. C. #455, Coast Valleys Division Grievance #18-63-1

- R. C. #457, North Bay Division Grievance #4-87
 R. C. #459, Drum Division Grievance #15-63-3
 R. C. #463, De Sabla Division Grievance #10-63-1
- R. C. #225, De Sabla Division Grievance #63
- R. C. #468, North Bay Division Grievance #4-63-4
 R. C. #470, North Bay Division Grievances #4-63-9
 and #4-63-10
- R. C. #475, Stockton Division Grievance #16-63-2
- R. C. #477, Shasta Division Grievance #13-63-2
- R. C. #480, Humboldt Division Grievance #19-63-4
- R. C. #481, Coast Valleys Division Grievance #18-63-4

Very truly yours, At mitchell

L. L. Mitchell, Secretary

Review Committee

"LM: do Encls.

COPY

IMDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
741.5

Review Committee File No. 426 Sam Francisco Division Grievance No. 176

February 18, 1964

MR. E. H. STRAND, Chairman Joint Grievance Committee San Francisco Division

The Union has recently informed the Company members of the Review Committee that the above subject grievance has been withdrawn from the agenda of the Review Committee. We are emclosing the Union's statement conserming the withdrawal of this case.

It will be in order for you to note in the minutes of your next Joint Grievence Committee meeting that this case is considered closed.

L. V. BROWN, Chairman Review Committee

· A DOWN

LVB:RS

cc: HALee VJThoupson EFSibley CLYager LLMitchell Le Herwing

Revisa Committee File No. 426 Jan Francisco Division Grievance No. 176

This case is withdrawn from the active Review file and Union will consider it closed.

This case is predicated on an agreed Line of Progression which does not appear to support the request. The Company, in the Division, has agreed on the procedures which should have been followed in manning the temporary unit at Hunters Point Power Plant and Union will drop this grievance based on the understanding that the parties will develop a Line of Progression in line with the agreement arrived at within the Division which will take care of this matter in the future.