


I . W
INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO
1918 GROVE STREET AND 12, CALIFORNIA • TWInoaks 3-2141



Local Union 1245

COPY

July 9, 1965

MR. L. V. Brown, Chairman
Review Committee
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
245 Market Street
San Francisco 6, California

Dear Mr. Brown:

The Union is withdrawing the following cases from the active file of the Review Committee and considers these cases closed:

- R. C. #208 - De Sabla Division Grievance #62
- R. C. #261 - Humboldt Division Grievance #39
- R. C. #273 - Coast Valleys Division Grievance #2281
- R. C. #391 - San Jose Division Grievance #8-13 and #8-14
- R. C. #579 - Drum Division Grievance #15-64-2
- R. C. #613 - De Sabla Division Grievance #10-64-16
- R. C. #626 - San Jose Division Grievance #8-65-3

Very truly yours,

/s/ L. L. Mitchell, Secretary
Review Committee

LLM:do
Encls.

cc: WMFleming
RWFields

Review Committee File No. 261
Humboldt Division Grievance No. 39

R. C. #261 raises questions which are now answered by the Contract or by the Clarification of Title 202.

The first notice not to report to work Monday, May 30, a holiday, was proper under Section 103.6 but was not a notice to change this employee's work schedule as provided by Contract.

The question of timely notice of transfer is answered by the recent Clarification. In this case the notice at 4:10 PM, May 29, was not adequate to change May 30, the regular work day, to a non-work day, inasmuch as notice 24 hours in advance of 12:00 Midnight, Monday night, would be required to provide timely notice.

This grievance also raises a question of cancellation of a notice of transfer even though it is not actually a part of the grievance. In this regard, it is Union's understanding that once an employee is notified of a transfer, a cancellation of the notice or a notice for a different assignment will also require a new notice in the same manner as if the contemplated transfer had occurred. In other words, notice once given establishes the change and any deviation requires the same notice as required in making the original assignment.

We will consider R. C. #261 closed in line with our agreement on retroactivity provided by the Clarification of Title 202, with the acceptance of the above understandings and without prejudice to future cases of this nature.

L. L. Mitchell, Secretary
Review Committee

July 9, 1965