

. · .

REVIEW COMMITTEE



PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 2850 SHADELANDS DRIVE, SUITE 100 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94598 (925) 974-4282

MARGARET A. SHORT, CHAIRMAN

DECISION LETTER DECISION PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL RECEIVED by LU 1245 OCT. 16, 2001 CASE CLOSED FILED & LOGGED INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. P.O. BOX 4790 VVALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (925) 933-6060 SALIM A. TAMIMI, SECRETARY

Pre-Review Committee No. 12144 Customer Field Services – Area 2 – Gas Service - Richmond

Kelly Adams Company Member Local Investigating Committee Lula Washington Union Member Local Investigating Committee

Subject of the Grievance

This case concerns a job award to Gas Service Representative vacancy in Richmond, on which the grievant was bypassed pursuant to Subsection 205.11(a).

Facts of the Case

The grievant is a Meter Reader and as such was a D bidder to GSR. The vacancy was awarded to another D bidder, a Meter Reader junior to the grievant. The successful bidder had passed the three week GSR training school in 1998. The grievant had never been.

Subsequent to the filing of this grievance, the grievant was selected pursuant to Subsection 205.13(a) to fill a GSR vacancy in Richmond that had been posted as No Qualified Bidders (NQB). He passed the school and is currently a GSR.

Discussion

Union opined that Company should have awarded grievant the vacancy pursuant to Subsection 205.7(d) contingent on his passing the GSR training school. Union further stated this had been the practice and why the junior Meter Reader had been through the school in 1998. After the junior Meter Reader completed the school, he did not report to the GSR vacancy he'd been awarded because he was unwilling to meet the residency requirement.

Company responded that for a while the job bidding team was awarding GSR vacancies to D bidders in seniority order contingent on passing the school. When this came to the attention of the IR Department, after complaints from clients about the delay in filling vacancies, the practice was discontinued, as it was not contractually proper. Subsections 205.1(a) and 205.9(a) both speak to awarding vacancies to the most senior of <u>qualified</u> (emphasis added) employees. The grievant was not qualified, the successful bidder was. The bypass of the grievant was proper.





Further, Company noted that the list of bidders has several D bidders who are senior to the grievant and were also bypassed. Even, if Company were to agree with Union's position, the grievant would not have received the first offer; another more senior employee may have accepted.

Meter Readers are next lower to Reserve Gas Service Representatives; they are B and C bidders to RGSR. Letter Agreement 79-120 states that bidders may be awarded RGSR vacancies contingent on passing the GSR training school. There is no such agreement for GSR's.

Finally, the PRC reviewed PRC Decision 966 settled in 1985. This decision makes it very clear that D bidders must be qualified to receive a job award.

While this issue could be resolved based on the information in the LIC report, in most grievances where job awards are at issue, more information is necessary and relevant. Such LIC reports should contain: the Job Vacancy Number, when it was awarded (to determine if the grievance is timely filed among other things), a copy of the Job Awards Bulletin, and a copy of the Job Vacancy Requisition showing the control date.

Decision

There was no violation of the Agreement is this case. It is closed without adjustment. Union stated they will be making a proposal to Company to modify the GSR line of progression to address the issue raised by this grievance.

Margaret A. Short, Chairman

Review Committee

10/16/01 Date

Salin A. 4. aminin

Sam Tamimi, Secretary **Review Committee**

<u> /0 - 16 - 01</u> Date