REVIEW COMMITTEE



RECEIVED JAN 2 4 1996

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 201 MISSION STREET, ROOM 1508 MAIL CODE P15B P.O. BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94177 (415) 973-8510

CASE CLOSED FILED & LOGGED INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W P.O. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (510) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

MARGARET A. SHORT, CHAIRMAN

DECISION

LETTER DECISION
PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

REVIEW COMMITTEE DECISION

Central Coast Division Grievance No. CCH-94-22 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-1 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-3 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-5 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-6 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-7 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-9 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON-94-8 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-36 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-37 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-38 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-39 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-40 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-52 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-53 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-54 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-55 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-56 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-57 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON-94-99 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-28 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-29 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-30 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-31 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-33 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-34 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-37 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-38 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-32 Los Padres Division Grievance No. SLO -94-22 Los Padres Division Grievance No. SLO -94-18 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-29 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-37 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-10 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-11

Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-13 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-14 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-16 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-18 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-12 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-36 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-44 North Bay Division Grievance No. SNR-94-03 North Bay Division Grievance No. SNR-94-15 North Bay Division Grievance No. SNR-94-12 North Coast Division Grievance No. STR-94-16 North Coast Division Grievance No. STR-94-17 North Coast Division Grievance No. STR-94-40 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-17 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-19 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-21 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-33 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-34 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI -94-18 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI -94-20 Nuclear Power Division Grievance No. NPG-616-94-049 Nuclear Power Division Grievance No. NPG-618-94-051 Oakland Division Grievance No. OAK-94-35 Oakland Division Grievance No. OAK-94-36 Oakland Division Grievance No. OAK-94-37 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-14 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-15 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-16 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-17 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-18 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-19 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-20 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-22 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-23 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-24 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-25 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-26 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-27 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-31 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-35 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-50 Sacramento Division Grievance No. SAC-94-24(a) Sacramento Division Grievance No. SAC-94-24 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-13 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-15 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-18 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-19 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-27 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-31 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-34

San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-39 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-46 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-29 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-32 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-35 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-40 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-41 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-44 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-51 San Joaquin Division Grievance No. FRO-94-14 San Joaquin Division Grievance No. FRO-94-33 San Jose Division Grievance No. SJO-94-6 San Jose Division Grievance No. SJO-94-20 San Luis Obispo Division Grievance No. SLO-94-5 San Luis Obispo Division Grievance No. SLO-94-8 San Luis Obispo Division Grievance No. SLO-94-21 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-13 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-14 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-15 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-16 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-19 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-22 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-23 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-24 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-29 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-41 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-48 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB -94-30 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-45 Stockton Division Grievance No. STKN-94-2 Yosemite Division Grievance No. MER-94-10 Yosemite Division Grievance No. MER-94-12 Yosemite Division Grievance No. MER-94-13 Yosemite Division Grievance No. MER-94-14 Corporate Services Grievance No. VPC-94-7

Review Committee File No. 1775

The above referenced grievances were referred to a Title 206/19 subcommittee for resolution. All the grievances concern disputes arising out of the Company's application of Title 206/19. The grievance issues fall into two general categories. The first involves the 'compression' of displacement options. The second involves the affect a systemwide displacement has on employees' ability to be placed in a demotion area option (206.6 (a)) when more junior demotion unit (206.6(b)) or system options (206.6(c)) are available.

In Arbitration Case No. 201, it was determined that the method in which the Company administered Title 206 did not constitute a violation of the Labor Agreement. In light of that conclusion, the subcommittee examined the 206/19 assignments of the grievants in the above referenced grievances to determine if the specific assignments were appropriate. The Committee reached the following settlements:

Diablo Division Grievance Nos. CON-94-8, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56 & 57

Facts of the Case

•

This case concerns the Title 206 placement of 12 grievants. The details are as follows:

Name	Hire Date	206 Assignment	Prioritization
Wade Cunningham	2/22/72	GC Utilty Worker	3
Ray Torres	8/23/71	Utility Worker- Bldg	16
-		Srvcs, San Jose	
Ernie Quinonez	10/22/68	GC Utility Wrkr	1
Lesley Green	1/2/79	Meter Reader-Concord	1
Sherry Jones	6/6/83	Meter Reader-Concord	1
Ron Bastiaans	9/19/83	Apprentice Lineman -	1
		Oakport	
Jim Kliewer	10/24/83	Gas Transmission	49/88
		Operator - Hinkley	
and the second		changed to GC Gas in	
		Antioch	
Mike Kelly	9/18/84	GC Utility Worker -	3
		Antioch	
Anthony Kennerly	7/23/87	GC Utility Worker -	9
		Antioch	
Ron Spirlock	8/18/71	GC Utility Worker -	1
		Antioch	
Dawn Curtis	3/16/92	GC Utility Worker -	3
	. =	Antioch	
Marco Cartagena	8/9/87	Trv. Utility Worker -	7/8
		Moss Landing	
		changed to GC Utility	
		Worker, Antioch	

The Committee reviewed the placement of each grievant and the disposition of their higher prioritized options. Grievant Kliwer believed that he should have been given a 206.5 option to Apprentice Lineman. The Committee determined that all their other options were either compressed out or were assigned to more senior employees. Grievant Kliwer was not entitled to a 206.5 option to an Apprentice Lineman since it was in his line of progression.

Decision

The placement of the grievants under Title 206 did not constitute a violation of the Labor Agreement. This case is closed without adjustment.

On this basis, this case is considered closed.

Any questions regarding the above cases should be directed to this committee.

Doug Vefider

Company Member

Date

96 Ken Ball

Date

Union Member

10/96

Bruce Tison **Company Member**

Sam Tamimi Union Member

Date