REVIEW COMMITTEE



RECEIVED JAN 2 4 1996

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 201 MISSION STREET, ROOM 1508 MAIL CODE P15B P.O. BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94177 (415) 973-8510

CASE CLOSED FILED & LOGGED INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W P.O. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (510) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

MARGARET A. SHORT, CHAIRMAN

DECISION

LETTER DECISION
PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

REVIEW COMMITTEE DECISION

Central Coast Division Grievance No. CCH-94-22 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-1 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-3 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-5 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-6 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-7 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-9 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON-94-8 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-36 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-37 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-38 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-39 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-40 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-52 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-53 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-54 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-55 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-56 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-57 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON-94-99 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-28 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-29 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-30 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-31 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-33 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-34 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-37 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-38 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-32 Los Padres Division Grievance No. SLO -94-22 Los Padres Division Grievance No. SLO -94-18 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-29 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-37 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-10 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-11

Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-13 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-14 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-16 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-18 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-12 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-36 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-44 North Bay Division Grievance No. SNR-94-03 North Bay Division Grievance No. SNR-94-15 North Bay Division Grievance No. SNR-94-12 North Coast Division Grievance No. STR-94-16 North Coast Division Grievance No. STR-94-17 North Coast Division Grievance No. STR-94-40 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-17 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-19 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-21 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-33 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-34 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI -94-18 North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI -94-20 Nuclear Power Division Grievance No. NPG-616-94-049 Nuclear Power Division Grievance No. NPG-618-94-051 Oakland Division Grievance No. OAK-94-35 Oakland Division Grievance No. OAK-94-36 Oakland Division Grievance No. OAK-94-37 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-14 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-15 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-16 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-17 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-18 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-19 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-20 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-22 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-23 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-24 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-25 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-26 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-27 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-31 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-35 Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-50 Sacramento Division Grievance No. SAC-94-24(a) Sacramento Division Grievance No. SAC-94-24 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-13 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-15 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-18 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-19 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-27 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-31 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-34

San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-39 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-46 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-29 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-32 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-35 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-40 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-41 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-44 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-51 San Joaquin Division Grievance No. FRO-94-14 San Joaquin Division Grievance No. FRO-94-33 San Jose Division Grievance No. SJO-94-6 San Jose Division Grievance No. SJO-94-20 San Luis Obispo Division Grievance No. SLO-94-5 San Luis Obispo Division Grievance No. SLO-94-8 San Luis Obispo Division Grievance No. SLO-94-21 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-13 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-14 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-15 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-16 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-19 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-22 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-23 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-24 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-29 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-41 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-48 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB -94-30 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-45 Stockton Division Grievance No. STKN-94-2 Yosemite Division Grievance No. MER-94-10 Yosemite Division Grievance No. MER-94-12 Yosemite Division Grievance No. MER-94-13 Yosemite Division Grievance No. MER-94-14 Corporate Services Grievance No. VPC-94-7

Review Committee File No. 1775

The above referenced grievances were referred to a Title 206/19 subcommittee for resolution. All the grievances concern disputes arising out of the Company's application of Title 206/19. The grievance issues fall into two general categories. The first involves the 'compression' of displacement options. The second involves the affect a systemwide displacement has on employees' ability to be placed in a demotion area option (206.6 (a)) when more junior demotion unit (206.6(b)) or system options (206.6(c)) are available.

In Arbitration Case No. 201, it was determined that the method in which the Company administered Title 206 did not constitute a violation of the Labor Agreement. In light of that conclusion, the subcommittee examined the 206/19 assignments of the grievants in the above referenced grievances to determine if the specific assignments were appropriate. The Committee reached the following settlements:

³

Review Committee File No. 1775

<u>P-RC No. 1845</u>

. 7

n a ser se An an an

n an an ann an Arland an Arland an thair an Arland a' an Arland an Arland. An an Arland an Arland.

 $= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{$

North Bay Division Grievance No. SNR-94-15

Facts of the Case

The grievant was hired by the Company on February 2, 1979 and at the time of displacement was a Night Groundman. The grievant was placed as a Utility Worker, DC. The grievant believed the placement should have been into a higher option under the provision of Section 206.6.

The grievant was placed into his 17th option. The other options were compressed out or were assigned to employees with greater seniority.

Decision

The grievant's placement under Title 206 did not constitute a violation of the Labor Agreement. This case is closed without adjustment.

(1) Some and the second second states of the second (1) Since the second sec

a particular a substantia de la companya de la comp A companya de la comp

n an general of green of the second of green even generating the second first of the second of the second of gr The second of the second of the second second of **300** and the second of the second of the second of the second 2011 The second second second second second second second second of the second of the second of the second second 2012 The second On this basis, this case is considered closed.

Any questions regarding the above cases should be directed to this committee.

Doug Vedder

Company Member

Company Member

Bruce Tison

Date

' A 96 Ken Ball

Union Member

Date

10/96

Date

Sam Tamimi

Union Member