

## REVIEW COMMITTEE



RECEIVED JAN 2 4 1996

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 201 MISSION STREET, ROOM 1508 MAIL CODE P15B P.O. BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94177 (415) 973-8510

CASE CLOSED
FILED & LOGGED

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W P.O. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (510) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

MARGARET A. SHORT, CHAIRMAN

| $\Box$ | DECISION            |
|--------|---------------------|
|        | LETTER DECISION     |
|        | PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL |

## REVIEW COMMITTEE DECISION

Central Coast Division Grievance No. CCH-94-22 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-1 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-3 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-5 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-6 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-7 DeAnza Division Grievance No. DEA 94-9 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON-94-8 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-36 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-37 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-38 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-39 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-40 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-52 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-53 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-54 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-55 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-56 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON 94-57 Diablo Division Grievance No. CON-94-99 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-28 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-29 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-30 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-31 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-33 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-34 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-37 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-38 East Bay Division Grievance No. OAK -94-32 Los Padres Division Grievance No. SLO -94-22 Los Padres Division Grievance No. SLO -94-18 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-29 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-37 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-10 Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-11

```
Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-13
Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-14
Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-16
Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-18
Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-12
Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-36
Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-44
North Bay Division Grievance No. SNR-94-03
North Bay Division Grievance No. SNR-94-15
North Bay Division Grievance No. SNR-94-12
North Coast Division Grievance No. STR-94-16
North Coast Division Grievance No. STR-94-17
North Coast Division Grievance No. STR-94-40
North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-17
North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-19
North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-21
North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-33
North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-94-34
North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI -94-18
North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI -94-20
Nuclear Power Division Grievance No. NPG-616-94-049
Nuclear Power Division Grievance No. NPG-618-94-051
Oakland Division Grievance No. OAK-94-35
Oakland Division Grievance No. OAK-94-36
Oakland Division Grievance No. OAK-94-37
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-14
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-15
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-16
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-17
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-18
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-19
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-20
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-22
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-23
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-24
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-25
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-26
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-27
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-31
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-35
Peninsula Division Grievance No. BEL-94-50
Sacramento Division Grievance No. SAC-94-24(a)
Sacramento Division Grievance No. SAC-94-24
San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-13
San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-15
San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-18
San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-19
San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-27
San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-31
San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-34
```

San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-39 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-46 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-29 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-32 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-35 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-40 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-41 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-44 San Francisco Division Grievance No. SFO-94-51 San Joaquin Division Grievance No. FRO-94-14 San Joaquin Division Grievance No. FRO-94-33 San Jose Division Grievance No. SJO-94-6 San Jose Division Grievance No. SJO-94-20 San Luis Obispo Division Grievance No. SLO-94-5 San Luis Obispo Division Grievance No. SLO-94-8 San Luis Obispo Division Grievance No. SLO-94-21 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-13 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-14 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-15 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-16 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-19 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-22 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-23 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-24 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-29 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-41 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-48 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB -94-30 Sierra Division Grievance No. AUB-94-45 Stockton Division Grievance No. STKN-94-2 Yosemite Division Grievance No. MER-94-10 Yosemite Division Grievance No. MER-94-12 Yosemite Division Grievance No. MER-94-13 Yosemite Division Grievance No. MER-94-14 Corporate Services Grievance No. VPC-94-7

## Review Committee File No. 1775

The above referenced grievances were referred to a Title 206/19 subcommittee for resolution. All the grievances concern disputes arising out of the Company's application of Title 206/19. The grievance issues fall into two general categories. The first involves the 'compression" of displacement options. The second involves the affect a systemwide displacement has on employees' ability to be placed in a demotion area option (206.6 (a)) when more junior demotion unit (206.6(b)) or system options (206.6(c)) are available.

In Arbitration Case No. 201, it was determined that the method in which the Company administered Title 206 did not constitute a violation of the Labor Agreement. In light of that conclusion, the subcommittee examined the 206/19 assignments of the grievants in the above referenced grievances to determine if the specific assignments were appropriate. The Committee reached the following settlements:

en y Kongge vije eer troop om tot op te beken dit tijd om tot op te bese

# Mission Division Grievance No. HAY-94-11

Review Opiniones Flances 1970

and all the end of the late of the

### Facts of the Case

The grievant was hired by the Company on July 15, 1991 and at the time of displacement was a Utility Worker. The grievant was placed as a Utility Worker, DC.

torren en er er ren er en er bliver grotter. Dit bliver slikke finne er er er ej herret ten et et ekste bli Hekkyr her er til til er er til han et egt fra ekste til skalente

And the second of the second o

redjek, Mobiles, op een dit op 'n 1996 Net

The grievant believed the placement should have been into a higher option under the provision of Section 206.6.

The grievant was placed into his 20th option. The other options were compressed out or were assigned to employees with greater seniority.

#### **Decision**

The second secon

التراجي وأرد فأيلا الرواد وحسواها الماكم وخارورسو

Berger Joseph Color (1986)

The placement of the grievants under Title 206 did not constitute a violation of the Labor Agreement. This case is closed without adjustment.

The creater cas reset in the Contratt of duty in 1891 and at the duty of feoligists and at the duty of feoligists and the following of the contratt of the con

The ligigane belief to the highlefrom origida bave treen for a life for a room ander the

The contract was the contract the Republication. The contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of

The Company of the Common of t

On this basis, this case is considered closed.

Any questions regarding the above cases should be directed to this committee.

Doug Veeder

Company Member

te Ken Ba

Union Member

Bruce Tison

Company Member

Sam Tamimi Union Member