

REVIEW COMMITTEE

JUN 27 1991

CASE CLOSED LOGGED AND FILED

RECEIVED JUN 2 5 1991

 7.1 -Just cause for Disciplin Gr given WR for alleged racial slur which came t lt in internal EEO inves igation. Co refused to provide EEO info to gr procedure. WR reduced to



INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. PO. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY 043-89-148

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 215 MARKET STREET, ROOM 916 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 (415) 973-1125

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

DECISION LETTER DECISION GREAEVIEW REFERRAL Grievance issue: ENCON Grievance No. 3-2043-89-148 P-RC 1468

A Written Reminder was issued to a Paint Working Foreman for alleged racial comments.

Facts of the Case:

On October 25, 1989, the grievant, a Paint Working Foreman, was issued a Written Reminder for making racial comments. These racial remarks were reported during the course of an Internal Audit and subsequent EEO investigation involving another employee.

Seven witnesses interviewed during the EEO investigation stated that they had also heard the grievant make derogatory racial comments on several occasions. Due to the confidential nature of EEO investigations, the witnesses were not made known to the grievant and he was therefore unable to face his accusers.

Discussion and Decision:

The Company and Union discussed at great length the conflict between the right of the grievant to face his accusers in the grievance process and the confidentiality associated with EEO investigations.

Company, after consultation with the Law Department, agreed that EEO confidentiality must not be breached. However, when witnesses in EEO investigations do not want their identities made known, no formal disciplinary action against an employee will occur as a result of information obtained during that investigation. The employee so accused will be Coached and Counselled and notified that a problem may exist.

Although the grievance in this issue is moot due to the expiration of the Written Reminder, the above mentioned procedure will be followed in future similar cases.

This case is considered closed based on the foregoing. Such closure should be so noted by the Joint Grievance Committee.

DAVID J. BERGMAN, Chairman Review Committee

ROGER ALCUP, Secretary

Committee Review