

### REVIEW COMMITTEE

IBEW

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 215 MARKET STREET, ROOM 916 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 (415) 973-1125

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

- ☐ DECISION
- ☐ LETTER DECISION
- ☐ PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. P.O. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

RECEIVED JUL 1 6 1990

Kern Division Grievance No. SJ-KERN-72-25-89-57-10 Fact Finding Committee No. 4616-89-211 P-RC 1433

July 9, 1990

ANDY EUSER, Company Fact Finder

BOB GIBBS, Union Fact Finder

The above-referenced grievance was discussed by the Pre-Review Screening Committee and referred back to Fact Finding with the recommendation that the case be settled based on the Union's offer. In making this recommendation, the Committee references the recently executed Transmission Crew agreement which discusses overtime practices.

DAVID J. BERGMAN, Chairman Review Committee

RRDoering(223-1124):nj

cc: Bill Mattox

ALCUP, Secretary ew Committee

# SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY REGION GRIEVANCE NO. SJ-KERN/72-25/89-57/10 FACT FINDING NO. 4616-89-211 PRE-REVIEW COMMITTEE NO. 1433

OCT 2 6 1990

#### MEMORANDUM OF DISPOSITION

## CASE CLOSED LOGGED AND FILED

RECEIVED OCT 2 2 1990

#### CASE SUBJECT:

This case concerns Company calling out a General Construction line crew, bypassing the Division crew in the area for an emergency overtime assignment.

#### CASE FACTS:

- 1. The work in question involved replacing a 60 foot pole and replacing the 115 KV insulators on the transmission and repair of the 12 KV (2 sets of OLLRS). The 12 KV underbuilt included 2 circuits. There was also a five foot cross-arm. An Al Tec truck with a 50 foot boom was used to set the pole. It was a straight line with no dead ends and a normal span.
- 2. The LIC agreed that both G.C. and Division crews were capable of handling the emergency with varying degrees of efficiency and there was no immediate hazard to life or property in this case. The Committee also agreed that the response time of G.C. and Division would have been approximately the same.
- Four Division Electric T&D crew members were signed up on the Division 212 list and were available. The job took 9-1/2 hours.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

The Committee discussed G.C.'s familiarity with the Transmission system in San Joaquin Valley Region, as well as G.C.'s efficiency and access to equipment adaptable to Transmission work. The Union did not disagree with G.C.'s capabilities, however, noted that an immediate hazard to life or property was not proven in this case nor lack of equipment, nonresponse, or evidence that restoration would have been delayed had the Division crew been utilized.

The Committee reviewed the overtime practices written in the Transmission Crew Agreement 90-69 and agreed that many of the Company's concerns expressed in this grievance have been answered.

SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY REGION GRIEVANCE NO. SJ-KERN-72-25/89-57-10 FACT FINDING NO. 4616-89-211

MEMORANDUM OF DISPOSITION - PAGE 2

#### **SETTLEMENT:**

The Company will pay the four Division crew members 9-1/2 hours at the double time rate for the bypass on April 22, 1989.

Andrew Eusen	Date 9-26-90
Andrew Euser, Company Member	<b>5</b> 2 6
Tom Phebus, Company Member	Date 9-26-90
Bob Gibbs, Union Member	Date 10-8-90
Kennerd & Ball	22.4
Ken Ball, Union Member	Date <u>/6-22 -97</u>