

REVIEW COMMITTEE



PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 215 MARKET STREET, ROOM 916 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. P.O. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

(415) 973-1125

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

RECEIVED MAR 2 9 1990

☐ DECISION ☐ LETTER DECISION ☐ PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

Santa Rosa Division Grievance No. RW-SR-04-64-89-58-17 Pre-Review Committee File No. 1426 Fact Finding Committee No. 4595-89-190

March 28, 1990

DOUG VEADER, Company Fact Finder

DOROTHY FORTIER, Union Fact Finder

The above-referenced grievance has been discussed by the Pre-Review Committee Screening Committee and is being returned to the Fact Finding Committee for re-examination in light of the decision in Arbitration Case No. 170.

DAVID J. BERGMAN, Chairman Review Committee

STALCUP, Secretary iew Committee

RRD:mc



REDWOOD REGION GRIEVANCE NOS.

MEMORANDUM OF DISPOSITION

FACT FINDING NOS. 4410-89-5

4595-89-190

4481-89-76 RW-SR-04-64-88-82-18

RW-SR-04-64-88-90-20

RW-SR-04-64-89-58-17 RW-SR-04-64-89-15-05 MAY 1.7 1993

CASE CLOSED
LOGGED AND FILED

SUBJECT OF GRIEVANCES

RECEIVED MAY - 7 1993

The Union alleged that Change of Party (CP) work was being done, inappropriately, by employees other than Gas Servicemen.

DISCUSSION

The Fact Finding committee met in Santa Rosa on April 22, June 24, and July 22, 1992. The Committee consisted of Shelley Brott, Russian River Division Human Resources Manager; Doug Veader, Sr. Labor Relations Representative; Larry Pierce, IBEW Business Representative; and Ken Ball, IBEW Business Representative. They reviewed the facts of the cases as previously outlined in the Local Investigating Committee reports.

The cases involved employees other than Gas Servicemen performing CPs in the Santa Rosa and Petaluma headquarters. It was decided in Arbitration 170 that the work should be given back to the Gas Servicemen. However, the issue of overtime due remained to be settled.

The Fact Finding committee discussed the four cases, and determined that two of the cases were settled by returning the work to the gas service department. Discussion about the remaining two cases centered around the question of whether the servicemen would have actually done the work on overtime, and if so, how much time would have been worked. The Company's position was that the work would not necessarily have been done on overtime by the Gas Servicemen. The Union's position was that overtime would have been automatic, based on the servicemen's staffing at that point.

DISPOSITION

Although the committee could not agree on a specific number of overtime hours, it was agreed to settle the case with an equity settlement. Specifically, the current Santa Rosa servicemen will be given two hours straight time pay each, based on the 1989 wage rate. This equity settlement is made without prejudice to the position of either party. This case is hereby closed.

Shelley Brott, For Company (CONCUR/DISSENT)	10-2-92 DATE
DOUG VEADER, For Company (CONCURADISSENT)	10-12-57
LARRY PIERCE, For Union (CONCURADISSENT)	DATE 5 -5 -9 4
KEN BALL, For Union (CONCUR/DISSENT)	<u>S-S-94</u> DATE