



REVIEW COMMITTEE

FEB 2 2 1989

IBEW (

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 215 MARKET STREET, ROOM 916 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 (415) 973-1125 CASE CLOSED LOGGED AND FILED

RECEIVED JAN 2 3 1989

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. PO. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

☐ DECISION
☐ LETTER DECISION
☐ PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

GENERAL CONSTRUCTION GRIEVANCE 1776-88-13 P-RC 1288

January 11, 1989

PHIL G. DAMASK, Chairman General Construction Joint Grievance Committee

BARRY HUMPHREY, Chairman General Construction Joint Grievance Committee

Grievance Issue

Union alleges company is not complying with the intent of Title 301.18 by refusing to rotate the grievant closer to his residence area.

Facts of the Case

The grievant is a 32-year employee who has spent his entire career in the Field Clerk classifications and is presently a Senior Field Clerk. The grievant has not worked within a reasonable commute distance from his residence area, which is Fresno, in several years. The grievant's present point of assembly is San Jose. With the addition of the new contract language in Section 301.18 of the Agreement in 1988, the grievant requested that he be rotated to his residence area.

The Gas Department and other General Construction departments have several Senior Field Clerks presently assigned to Fresno area office and who live in the Fresno area.

The company noted that the grievant was having difficulties in performing some of his Senior Field Clerk duties in San Jose and felt that the duties associated with the Fresno office could not be adequately performed by the grievant. Further, the contractual language in 301.18 provides for a "rotation when practical, at reasonable intervals, of these employees in the same or equivalent classifications who possess similar skills, knowledge and abilities . . . " (emphasis added). With regard to this language, the company noted that these individuals were not of similar skills, knowledge, or abilities.

Discussion and Decision

The committee discussed the case at length and agreed to resolve it with the company's transfer of the grievant to his residence area. The grievant transferred to Fresno on December 7, 1988.

Based on the facts of this case and this settlement, the questions regarding the similar skills, knowledge, and ability or other interpretations of this contract section were not addressed. This specific case is settled without prejudice to either parties position regarding this contract section.

Based on the foregoing this case is closed and such closure should be noted in the minutes of the Joint Grievance Committee.

DAVID J. BERGMAN, Chairman Review Committee

ROGER V. STALCUP, Secretary Review Committee

RLBolf:nj