REVIEW COMMITTEE

PG and E

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 245 MARKET STREET, ROOM 444 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 (415) 781-4211, EXTENSION 1125

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

☐ DECISION
☐ LETTER DECISION
☐ PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

JUN 1 3 1988 CASE CLOSED LOGGED AND FILED

RECEIVED JUN 1 0 1988

IBEW ()

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. P.O. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

V.P. & Comptrollers Grievance No. 22-534-86-27 Fact Finding Committee No. 3705-86-258 Pre-Review Committee No. 1164

June 7, 1988

STORME SMITHERS, Company Member V.P. & Comptrollers
Local Investigating Committee

GWEN WYNN, Union Member
V.P. & Comptrollers
Local Investigating Committee

The above-subject grievance has been discussed by the Pre-Review Committee prior to its docketing on the agenda of the Review Committee and is being returned, pursuant to Step 5A(v) of the grievance procedure, to the Local Investigating Committee for settlement in accordance with the following:

Subject of the Grievance

This case concerns a three day disciplinary lay-off for continued tardiness.

Facts of the Case

The grievant was employed on May 29, 1979, and has an extensive disciplinary history dating back to April 22, 1980, addressing attendance, tardiness, and inappropriate behavior. On April 1, 1986, the grievant was given a one day lay-off for continued tardiness after which he was late three more times culminating in the three day lay-off which is the subject of this grievance.

Discussion

Lengthy discussion centered around whether the grievant was being singled out for discipline. Union cited several other employees who had tardiness records similar to the grievant's from January through July 1986. Company pointed out that the grievant's record was much more extensive and that the other employee's named had also received counselling and letters (although the letters were after the grievant's three days off). Company opined that the timing of discipline is determined on a case-by-case basis depending on the facts, history, severity, etc. and with tardiness, discipline isn't necessarily taken solely based on the number of occasions, but also considering the reasons and extent of the lateness.

Decision

After an extensive review of the records, the parties agreed to resolve the case based on the offer made at the Fact Finding step, that is, to reduce the discipline to two days.

This case is considered closed based on the foregoing and the adjustment contained herein. Such closure should be so noted by the Local Investigating Committee.

DAVE J. BERGMAN, Chairman Review Committee ROGER V. STALCUP, Secretary Review Committee

MAShort(223-1123):1w