

PG and E

IBEW O

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 245 MARKET STREET, ROOM 444 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 (415) 781-4211, EXTENSION 1125 CASE CLOSED STA LOGGED AND FILED

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. P.O. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

□ DECISION
□ LETTER DECISION
□ PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

RECEIVED OCT 2 0 1986

General Construction Grievance No. 3-1510-86-18 P-RC 1135

October 16, 1986

RICHARD S. BAIN, Chairman General Construction Local Investigating Committee BARRY J. HUMPHREY, Chairman General Construction Local Investigating Committee

Subject of Grievance

The reimbursement to the Company of overpaid per diem.

Facts of the Case

The grievant was a Lineman assigned to work in Daly City. The Grievant's residence was Rohnert Park. The grievant was initially paid Zone 4 per diem based on mileage from Cotati to Daly City. Rohnert Park is approximately 1.2 miles from Cotati. A subsequent mileage listing showed the amended mileage figure from Cotati to Daly City as 51.7 which happens to be Zone 3 per diem.

The Grievant appears to have been told by a bargaining unit field clerical staff member that the Company would not request backpayment.

The amount of overpayment was \$237.50. The Company initiated reimbusement under Contract Section 301.17 which states:

"If an error is made in the expense allowance to which an employee is entitled which results in an overpayment to the employee, the employee shall not be required to reimburse the Company beyond the first 60 days of overpayment. However, extenuating circumstances may relieve the employee of responsibility of reimbursement for overpayment of less than 60 days."

The Grievant claimed extenuating circumstances since he was told he would not have to repay the overpayment.

Discussion and Decision

The Committee reviewed the facts of this case and noted that the Joint Grievance Committee had requested the Local Investigating Committee to gather additional data in an effort to more clearly determine who told what to the

grievant.

Based on the facts as could be determined, the Committee could not clearly establish whether extenuating circumstances existed. However, in an effort to settle the issue the Committee agreed to an equity settlement to which one-half the per diem reimbursed by the grievant would be remitted back to the grievant.

This case is considered closed on this basis without prejudice to either parties' positions.

DAVID J. BERGMAN, Chairman Review Committee ROGER V. STALCUP, Secretary Review Committee

MAS:mc