# REVIEW COMMITTEE

#### PG and E

IBEW (

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 245 MARKET STREET, ROOM 444 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 (415) 781-4211, EXTENSION 1125

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. P.O. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

□DECISION
□LETTER DECISION
□PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

Colgate Division Grievance Nos. 12-58-80-3/12-59-80-4 and 12-63-80-8 P-RC Nos. 562 and 613 Adjustment of Credited Service Date

April 15, 1981

MR. J. L. MacDONALD, Company Member Colgate Division Local Investigating Committee

MR. E. A. FORTIER, Union Member Colgate Division Local Investigating Committee

The above-subject grievances were submitted to the 1980 Pension Negotiations for settlement; and as a result of the recently ratified Pension Agreement, these cases were returned to the Review Committee for settlement in accordance with the following:

The Local Investigating Committee is to resolve these grievances in accordance with the provisions of Attachment C, Item 2, of the Pension Settlement letter dated, March 4, 1981.

These cases are considered closed on the basis of the foregoing, and the closure should be so noted by the Local Investigating Committee.

D. J. BERGMAN, Chairman Review Committee

R. W. STALCUP, Secretary Review Committee

DJB:m1

**JSCooper** JLKirkegaard **MEBadella** LCBeanland LBlandford IWBonbright LVBrown FCBuchholz RHCunningham **NRFarley CAMiller JBS**toutamore WKSnyder **CPTaylor RCTaylor** Division Personnel Managers

PRC 613

### SETTLEMENT OF GRIEVANCES REFERRED TO THE PENSION BARGAINING COMMITTEES

## Fact Finding Case No. 1217-79-158 (Humboldt Division) Barker - Vacation Allowance

This grievance, filed by Mr. Lloyd Barker, states that Company was in violation of Section 3.23 of the Benefit Agreement, specifically the definition of Covered Compensation, when it required him to take vacation earned in the year in which he retired prior to his retirement date in accordance with Standard Practice No. 723-1, Paragraph 29, effective January 1, 1975.

The difference of opinion between Company and Union on this matter developed out of the bargaining for the January 1, 1977 amendments to the Pension Plan. At that time the Company agreed to include that portion of the vacation allowance which was paid upon retirement as an extra amount of money to be included in the highest 60 consecutive months of earnings for the calculation of the employee's pension. The Union believed that the Company also agreed to include any vacation earned in the year of retirement in this allowance. Company's understanding, as spelled out in its Standard Practice – both in the 1975 version and the 1980 version, is that the vacation payoff at retirement was limited to the equivalent of one year's vacation allowance.

In order to settle this case, the parties agree that Mr. Barker's monthly pension payments will be recalculated retroactive to his actual retirement date to include the value of the disputed vacation which he was required to take prior to his retirement. Since the Pension Plan has been changed and the basic formula no longer provides for a vacation allowance in the computation of an employee's pension, it is also agreed that the Company's Standard Practice on this matter, as last revised on August 1, 1980, will apply until such time as it is changed in conformance with the 1981 settlement.

### 2. Credited Service Grievances

A number of greivances have been referred from the Pre-Review Committee and the Review Committee. The common thread in all of these cases is an allegation on the part of the grievant that Company had failed at some time prior to 1973 to inform such grievant of his rights and obligations under the terms of the then existing Pension Plan, primarily of the obligation to contribute to such Plan in a timely manner in order to accrue Credited Service from the date of grievant's employment. It has been virtually impossible for the Company or for the various joint Company-Union Committees to determine with any degree of accuracy the truth or falsehood

of the allegations of either the grievant or Company supervisors. This is so because the existing records are old, and in most cases incomplete, and in all cases the memory of the parties on either side of the dispute cannot be expected to be perfect for events which occurred prior to 1973. The Pension Bargaining Committees agree that the grievances listed below, as well as any on the same issue which may be at lower steps of the Grievance Procedure, shall be settled in accordance with the terms of the amended Special Provision F. Therefore, it is the intent of the parties that future disputes, if any, of this nature, shall be resolved solely in accordance with Special Provision F.

Some of the named grievants have retired since the original filing of their grievances. Those retired grievants who are still living will be offered the opportunity to buy back their lost Credited Service on the same basis as that provided in Special Provision F and have their pensions retroactively adjusted accordingly. "Current monthly wage rate" as used in such Provision will be assumed to be the final pay prior to retirement computed on a monthly basis.

Listed below are the Pre-Review Committee and Review Committee cases to which this settlement applies:

Pre-Review Committee No.	Grievant
548 - Drum 553 - Shasta 559 - Stockton 560 - Shasta 562 - Colgate 582 - General Construction 586 - De Sabla 587 - Shasta 605 - General Construction 612 - Coast Valleys 613 - Colgate 616 - Coast Valleys	J. F. Jaster J. Yochum H. Boynton G. Dyer D. Cummins
616 - Coast Valleys	R. J. Baumann
Review Committee No.	Grievant
1475 - De Sabla 1477 - North Bay 1478 - Humboldt 1510 - Stockton	B. L. Berg & L. W. Hope P. Cranse T. A. Jennings E. Davis

- 2002 -