REVIEW COMMITTEE

PGandE

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 245 MARKET STREET, ROOM 444 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 (415) 781-4211, EXTENSION 1125

CASE CLOSED LOGGED AND FILED

IBEW

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF
ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO
LOCAL LINION 1245, I.B.E.W.

ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. P.O. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 M.A. MEDEROS, SECRETARY

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

□ DECISION
□ LETTER DECISION
□ PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

Coast Valleys Division Grievance No. 18-286-78-116 P-RC 437 Fact Finding Committee No. 1068-79-9 Bypass of Line Subforeman

June 23, 1980

MR. D. J. COYNE, Company Member Coast Valleys Division Local Investigating Committee MR. C. L. WHEELER, Union Member Coast Valleys Division Local Investigating Committee

The above-subject grievance has been discussed by the Pre-Review Committee prior to its docketing on the agenda of the Review Committee and is being returned, pursuant to Section IB(2) of the Review Committee procedure, to the Local Investigating Committee for settlement in accordance with the following:

This grievance concerns the bypass of a Line Subforeman, Monterey, on his lateral prebid for a Line Subforeman vacancy in Paso Robles.

At issue in this case is the Company's right to bypass the grievant on a lateral bid while the Company considers him under "active counselling". The Committee notes that the grievant's almost ten-year history as a Line Subforeman is punctuated with letters, memos and disciplinary time-off, some of which relate to his ability to function as a Line Subforeman. The Committee further notes that the Division used Subsection 205.14(a) of the Physical Agreement to bypass the grievant.

Under normal circumstances, the Union's argument in a case like this would be that if the Company had not seen fit to demote an employee who's job performance is in serious question, then the Company is foreclosed from preventing that employee from bidding laterally to his or her same classification elsewhere. The Company believes, however, that there are times when an employee should not be allowed to bid laterally when such an employee is "under a cloud" in his/her present job and location. The Company also believes that when it would be deleterious to the employee group or operation at the location to which the bypassed employee has bid to allow such an employee to move, then the bypass is justified. In the present case, the Committee believes that such bypass would be inappropriate under the provisions of Subsection 205.14(a). For bypasses of this nature, the Committee notes that Section 205.11 would be the appropriate control section.

In any event, the Committee presently understands that the grievant's performance is satisfactory, that he has been awarded a Troubleman's job, and the Division is not honoring his bids to Line Subforeman.

On the basis of the foregoing discussion, this case is considered closed.

D. J. BERGMAN, Chairman Review Committee

M. A. MEDEROS, Secretary Review Committee

M. a. Medino

PEPettigrew(1123):m1

cc: FCMarks

MEBadella LCBeanland

IWBonbright LVBrown

FCBuchholz RHCunningham

NRFarley CAMiller

JBStoutamore

WKSnyder CPTaylor

Division Personnel Managers