



PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 245 MARKET STREET, ROOM 444 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 (415) 781-4211, EXTENSION 1125 RECEIVED AUG 2 1 1978 RECEIVED AUG 2 1 1978 RECEIVED AUG 2 1 1978 Nalnut CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 L.N. FOSS, SECRETARY

IBE V

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

DLETTER DECISION

DECISION

East Bay Division Grievance No. 1-355-77-133 and San Francisco Division Grievance No. 2-262-78-1 P-RC 344 and 346 Work Assignment of Gas Service Operator Classification

August 16, 1978

MR.	т.	C.	PHEBUS,	Company	Member
East	t Ba	ау 🛛	Division		
Loca	al :	[nv	estigatir	ng Commit	ttee

MR. S. A. THOMAS, Union Member East Bay Division Local Investigating Committee

MR. G. D. LAWSON, Company Member San Francisco Division Local Investigating Committee MR. F. A. QUADROS, Union Member San Francisco Division Local Investigating Committee

The above-subject grievances have been discussed by the Pre-Review Committee prior to their docketing on the agenda of the Review Committee and are being returned, pursuant to Section I B(2) of the Review Committee procedure, to the Local Investigating Committees for settlement in accordance with the following:

The grievances both concern Gas Service Operators dispatching work assignments to Troublemen, Cablemen or Electric T&D crews. The grievants are alleging that this is an improper work assignment inasmuch as Gas Service Operators are in the Gas Department and should only be dispatching to Gas Department employees. The Pre-Review Committee, in disucssing this case, reviewed system-wide practices relative to this issue and determined that throughout the system, dispatching to both Electric and Gas Department employees is handled by numerous classifications, including Gas Service Operators, Foreman's Clerks, Distribution Operators, Division Operators, Pressure Operators, First Operators and, in some cases, Clerks in the clerical bargaining unit. Title 600, Exhibit VI, of the Job Definitions and Lines of Progression, Division Gas Department Employees, does not make the distinction between gas and electric dispatching; and in view of the classification overlap, the Pre-Review Committee is of the opinion that contractual violations did not occur in either of the two grievances. However, it should be noted that this type of dispatching is limited commensurate with the Gas Service Operator's qualifications.

These cases are considered closed on the basis of the foregoing, and the closure should be so noted by the Local Investigating Committees.

. J. BERGMAN, Chairman Review Committee

L. N. FOSS, Secretary Review Committee

cc: GFClifton, Jr. JAFairchild IWBonbright LVBrown Personnel Managers

DJB:rto