MEMORANDUM OF DISPOSITION SHASTA DIVISION GRIEVANCE NO. 13-288-88-5 FACT FINDING COMMITTEE NO. 4284-88-197

CASE CLOAD LOGGED AND THED

SUBJECT OF GRIEVANCE:

RECEIVED Ark 2 7 1989

This grievance concerns the granting of holiday pay to intermittent employees.

DISCUSSION:

Company contended that intermittent employees would not normally be scheduled to work on holidays and thus should not receive holiday pay. Contract language was cited which indicates that intermittent employees receive holiday pay when "scheduled to work on a regular schedule known in advance".

Union argued that intermittent employees with regular status should receive holiday pay just like any other employee with regular status. They argued that the Company's interpretation of the Contract language could be used to never schedule an intermittent employee in advance.

Discussion also occurred about whether intermittent employees should receive floating holidays.

Company's position was that floating holidays defeated the purpose of intermittent employees if they could elect to use a floating holiday when called in to work. Union countered that intermittent employees with regular status are entitled to floating holidays.

DECISION:

Upon further research, it was determined that the intent of Section 17.7 of the Contract was to grant holiday pay to intermittent employees if they would have worked "but for the holiday". Working the day before and after the holiday is not a guarantee of holiday pay for an intermittent employee. The supervisor must determine if the employee truly would have worked. It was also determined that intermittent employees are not entitled to "in lieu of" days.

With regard to floating holidays, investigation revealed that although the Contract language is unclear, the Company has been granting floating holidays to intermittent employees all along. Given this past practice, it was agreed that intermittent employees should receive floating holidays.

Given the filing date of the original grievance, intermittent employees will receive holiday pay for holidays on which they would have worked but for the holiday, starting from Memorial Day (May 30, 1988).

The intermittent employees listed below are affected by the settlement of this grievance and should be paid holiday pay as follows:

William T. Scow	May 30, July 4, September 5
SS# 555-49-6082	November 11, November 24,
	November 25, December 26, 1988
	January 2, 1989

May 30, July 4, September 5. Charies T. Trumbull SS# 555-29-5876 November 11, November 24, November 25, December 26, 1988 January 2. 1989 Wayne P. Young November 11, November 24, November 25. December 26, 1988, January 2, 1989 SS# 547-50-0127 May 30, December 26, 1988. Linda C. Bowers January 2, 1989 SS# 573-72-4393 May 30, July 4, November 11, December 26, Janell M. Fitzgerald 1988, January 2, 1989 SS# 564-45-4487 May 30, July 4, September 5, November 11, Robert J. Foushee SS# 556-90-2232 December 26, 1988, January 2, 1989 November 11, November 24, November 25, Anthony R. Flores SS# 562-96-6144 Kellie L. Bronson November 24, November 25 SS# 561-47-9935 Sharon L. Mitchell September 5, November 11, November 24, SS# 559-82-5018 November 25, December 26, 1988, January 2, 1989

This case is closed based on the above discussion and settlement.

OR THE UNION Statut	4/26/89	
Roger Stalcup, Union Nember Autor M. Hafner, L&EW Business Rep	Concur/Dissent	Date <u></u>
FOR THE COMPANY:	Gen.	4/10/89
Rick Doering, Company Member <u></u>	Concur/Dissent Concur/ Dissent	Date <u>4 /12 /89</u> Date

- 2 -

محربان المراجع المراجع المحافظ المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع