

PG and E

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 245 MARKET STREET, ROOM 444 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 (415) 781-4211, EXTENSION 1125

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

□ DECISION
□ LETTER DECISION
□ PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

CASE CLOSED LOGGED AND FILED



INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. P.O. BOX 4790

WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

RECEIVED MAR 2 4 1987 WALNUT

East Bay Division Grievance No. 1-2051-84-6 Review Committee File No. 1616-85-9

Arb. #141

The above-subject grievance was referred to arbitration as Arbitration Case No. 141 and is being referred back to the Review Committee for settlement in accordance with the following:

Subject of the Grievance

This case concerns the issue of Company's failure to upgrade a Lineman to Electric Crew Foreman while in charge of a three-man crew.

Fact of the Case

On December 27, 28 and 29, 1983, the grievant, a Lineman, and two Groundmen were sealing ducts for smoke in underground vaults. The three employees worked as a team with one Groundman above the hole as an observer and materials handler, and the Lineman and the other Groundman in the hole. The work performed did not involve the electrical distribution system.

Discussion

The Union argued that contractually there is a defined distinction between "crews" and "units," and any time a Lineman is on a crew with two Groundmen, he must be upgraded to Electric Crew Foreman, regardless of the work performed by the crew.

Company opined that the Lineman was functioning in the capacity of a Working Foreman, an Electric T&D classification with a wage rate equal to that of Lineman, maintaining manholes. Pursuant to Section 204.3, when an employee is temporarily assigned to work in a higher classification, he shall be upgraded. Had the job involved electrical work, an upgrade to Electric Crew Foreman would have been warranted.

Decision

The Committee agreed to settle this case on the basis that in the future for similar work assignments, Company will first consider prebidders to the Working Foreman classification for temporary upgrades as provided for in Section 205.3. In the case at hand, there were no prebidders to Working Foreman in the headquarters.

On the basis of the above, this case is considered closed.

FOR COMPANY:

Floyd C. Buchholz William J. Rowe Robert C. Taylor David J. Bergman

Date_

FOR UNION:

Patrick S. Nickeson Fred H. Pedersen Arlis Watson Roger W. Stalcup

Date