Senator Howard W. Cannon

Statement by U.S. Senator Howard W. Cannon

It is with a deep sense of humility and rededication that I formally announce my candidacy for re-election to the United States Senate in which I have served since January, 1959.

These past five and a half years have been filled with great personal challenge for me in attempting to (Continued on Page Seven)
November 3rd will find people in this country and around the world intensely interested in the results of our Presidential election.

They will be interested not only in the exact moment McCarthy will finally fall but also in the continuity of leadership the United States can offer the rest of the world.

It is difficult to explain to Americans the concern other people of the world have for the outcome of this Presidential election. They sit, hoping the American people will do the right thing (as they usually do at election time)—and frustrated that they can do nothing about it.

Except that this year, these frustrations—if they are not relieved by a continuation of the Kennedy-Johnson tradition of leadership which traces back through Truman to Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson—will be acted out in a number of ways inimical to the survival of this earth and life upon one.

There is room in the world today for a third power bloc to develop besides that of the United States and its free allies on one hand, and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and its communistic satellites on the other.

Neither one of these blocs can claim complete support for its brand of ideological leadership. And we do not lack for potential aspirants to the leadership of this third bloc; they range all the way from de Gaulle of France to Nasser of Egypt and Mao of Red China.

If Barry Goldwater were to be elected President of the United States, these men would be able to step up their aspirations: the Stalinists would challenge Khrushchev's successors for continuing coexistence; and America's allies would recoil in horror.

America's allies are attracted only by imaginative leadership and a posture of power maintained through political stability, deliberate decision-making and internal unity. These would vanish if Goldwater were to be elected and so would U.S. leadership of the western world.

Power politics are just that these days: more power in the form of nuclear holocaust than we dare to imagine, or are able to withstand. So the development of a third power bloc has inevitably led to the development of a third nuclear power capability.

The people of America and the people of the world are not fools. They see in the vision of Barry Goldwater as President of the United States a severe threat to their continued existence on this earth. They see a man who, for all his quiet charm and candor, is just as likely—if he is unable to find simple solutions to the complex foreign and domestic questions facing the President of the United States—to push a button for a quick answer. And that would certainly eliminate a lot of vexing problems, ourselves included.

This is how an overwhelming majority of people the world over perceive the situation should Barry Goldwater be elected President of the United States. Our potential enemies would shift to battle positions. Our allies would no longer look to the United States for leadership, either moral or nuclear. And the non-aligned nations of Latin America, Africa and Asia would never accept or forgive the first political decision of the American people since the assassination of a President beloved of the world over.

We are confident the American people will again rise to the challenge, and will not disappoint the world on November 3rd.

---

**Death of V.P. Charles Foehn**

Ninth District International Vice President Charles J. Foehn passed away in the University of California hospital in San Francisco October 10th. He had been ill for a number of months after being stricken with a severe kidney ailment in Portland, Oregon.

Brother Foehn was born in the Mission District of San Francisco and joined the I.B.E.W. as an Apprentice Electrician in 1917. He was a member of the International Executive Council in 1942 and the Ninth District Vice President in 1960.

Long active in civic affairs, Charlie Foehn served on the San Francisco Board of Education from 1930 to 1960, on the Housing Authority from 1943 to 1960, and on the Grand Jury in 1950 and 1962.

He is survived by his wife, Prue; his daughter Doris Hoy; and his son, Robert.

We shall miss a man and a Brother who devoted his life to bettering the lot of his fellow men.
## Reasons and Recommendations for The California Ballot Propositions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition Number</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
<th>RECOMMENDATION</th>
<th>REASONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>State Beach, Park, Recreational, and Historical Facilities Bond Act of 1964</td>
<td><strong>VOTE YES</strong></td>
<td>California's exploding population requires the purchase of &quot;green belts&quot; and &quot;bright land&quot; at present prices in order to enlarge a park system already inadequate for today's population. The University of California, the state and junior colleges, as well as correctional institutions, mental hospitals and facilities for narcotics control and forest fire fighting must keep pace with the 600,000 yearly increase in population—people who will help pay off these bonds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>State Construction Bond Act of 1964</td>
<td><strong>VOTE YES</strong></td>
<td>These funds are needed to improve transportation facilities in the cities of over 700,000 population. School districts which can no longer afford to build new schools and equip them would be able to raise funds from this source and pay back over a 30 year period—any balance after that time to be a grant. Needy school districts would levy a special tax to repay the state aid. This would be paid out of the state treasury.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>State School District Building Aid Bond Act of 1964—$260 million</td>
<td><strong>VOTE YES</strong></td>
<td>This measure would allow residential districts with little industrial tax base to attempt to catch up with the number of children in their schools, eliminating overcrowded classrooms and double sessions. Establishing this educational aid program will help the residents of the state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Veterans' Tax Exemption: Residency Requirement</td>
<td>No Recommendation Made</td>
<td>The fact that many out-of-state veterans have received bonuses from their home states balances the argument that all veterans serve their country nationally and should receive equal treatment in every state. A veteran is now allowed a $1,000 tax exemption if neither he nor his wife owns property with an assessed valuation of $5,000 each. This measure would allow his widow to retain the exemption on community property not exceeding an assessed valuation of $10,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Veterans' Tax Exemption for Widows</td>
<td><strong>VOTE YES</strong></td>
<td>Retaliation against the insurance companies whose home states or countries tax California based companies in excess of their own, comes to a stand off against the desirable competition introduced by out-of-state companies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Taxation: Retaliatory Tax on Out-of-State Insurers</td>
<td><strong>VOTE YES</strong></td>
<td>This movement to diversify the investment of public retirement funds by extending the media to common stock, shares or other corporate obligations is in keeping with sound investment policies. Elimination from the ballot of the names of incumbent superior court judges who are running unopposed in a county, or a city and county, of 700,000 population or more will simplify the ballot, focus attention on the contested offices, and speed vote-counting; at the same time it will provide a specific write-in procedure with notice to the incumbent, thus scheduling the contest for the November election where the incumbent has less advantage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Public Retirement Funds</td>
<td><strong>VOTE YES</strong></td>
<td>By covering the 11 charter counties under the general law affecting, as far as redistricting is concerned, all the other counties except San Francisco—this constitutional amendment would provide uniformly that 50% at least of the people in a county would reside in three of the five districts electing supervisors, thus giving voters more equal representation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Superior Court Judges: Election in Counties of over 700,000 population</td>
<td><strong>VOTE YES</strong></td>
<td>An obsolete provision of the State Constitution requires the deposit of certain tax revenues in a special account which provides less than 1/10 of 1/2 of the guaranteed revenues appropriated for the support of schools. This accounting procedure should be simplified because earmarking serves no useful purpose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>County Supervisorial District Boundaries</td>
<td><strong>VOTE YES</strong></td>
<td>Elimination from the State Constitution of an obsolete provision requiring a city-wide vote first, would allow cities to sign contracts, under the general law, with their county—thus permitting the county to provide services such as fire protection without expensive duplication of facilities and costly elections. By allowing a property owner to apply for reassessment of property when his property is damaged or destroyed by fire, flood, earthquake or another act of God; and when his property is declared by the Governor to be in a disaster area—this proposition would make effective, legislation providing for the victims of the Baldwin Hills Dam collapse, the Glendale-Rock fire, and the Crescent City tidal wave. It would allow future legislation of this kind for victims of a disaster taking place after the lien date on the property tax.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>State School Fund</td>
<td><strong>VOTE YES</strong></td>
<td>Prohibiting the naming of a private corporation in an amendment to the State Constitution prevents such a corporation from reaping large profits from the so-called State Controlled Lottery proposed in Proposition 16.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Performance of Municipal Functions by County Officers</td>
<td><strong>VOTE YES</strong></td>
<td>The fact that many out-of-state veterans have received bonuses from their home states balances the argument that all veterans serve their country nationally and should receive equal treatment in every state. A veteran is now allowed a $1,000 tax exemption if neither he nor his wife owns property with an assessed valuation of $5,000 each. This measure would allow his widow to retain the exemption on community property not exceeding an assessed valuation of $10,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Property Taxation: Relief in the event of Disaster</td>
<td><strong>VOTE YES</strong></td>
<td>Retaliation against the insurance companies whose home states or countries tax California based companies in excess of their own, comes to a stand off against the desirable competition introduced by out-of-state companies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Constitutional Amendments: Naming Corporations</td>
<td><strong>VOTE YES</strong></td>
<td>By covering the 11 charter counties under the general law affecting, as far as redistricting is concerned, all the other counties except San Francisco—this constitutional amendment would provide uniformly that 50% at least of the people in a county would reside in three of the five districts electing supervisors, thus giving voters more equal representation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Your Executive Board’s Recommendations:

**Vote for your COPE—en**

For U.S. Senator

Pierre Salinger

For STATE SENATOR

California Congressional District

1. George McCabe
2. Harold T. (Bizz) Johnson
3. John E. Moss
4. Robert Louis Leggett
5. Phillip Burton
6. Thomas P. O'Toole
7. Jeffery Cohelan
8. George P. Miller
9. Don Edwards
10. E. Day Carman
11. W. Mark Sullivan
12. Sanford Bolz
13. George E. Taylor
14. Russel M. Koch
15. John J. McFall
16. B. F. Sisk
17. Cecil R. King
18. Harlan Hagen
19. Chet Hollifield

Your Congressman’s Voting Record

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUMMARY</th>
<th>CONG. DIST.</th>
<th>CALEF.</th>
<th>Party</th>
<th>TAX CUT</th>
<th>PUBLIC WORKS</th>
<th>MASS TRANSIT AID</th>
<th>PROJECTING WORKERS</th>
<th>DAVIS-BACON FRINGE BENEFITS</th>
<th>END BRACERO PROGRAM</th>
<th>FOREIGN AID</th>
<th>EXPAND HOUSE RULES COMMITTEE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Right: 2</td>
<td>Total Wrong: 8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Clausen (R)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Johnson (D)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Moss (R)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Burton (D)</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Miller (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Edwards (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Gubser (R)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Baldwin (R)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>McFall (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Sisk (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>King (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Hagen (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Sisk (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Smith (R)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Hawkins (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Corman (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Clawson (R)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Lipscomb (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Cameron (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Roosevelt (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Burkhalter (R)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Bell (R)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Brown (R)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Wilson (D)</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
endorsed candidates Nov. 3

For ASSEMBLYMAN

California Assembly District

1. William F. Ferroggiaro, Jr.
2. Pauline L. Davis
3. Leroy F. Greene
4. Harold E. Booth
5. Pearce Young
6. Howard R. Smith
7. Open
8. No Endorsement
9. Edwin L. Z'berg
10. Jerome R. Waldie
11. John T. Knox
12. Phil Wogaman
13. Carlos Bee
15. Nicholas C. Petris
16. Douglas J. Hill
17. William Byron Rumford
19. Charles W. Meyers
20. John L. Burton
21. John J. Davids
22. Open
23. John Francis Foran
24. Alfred E. Alquist
25. William F. Stanton
26. Open
27. Leo J. Ryan
28. Jack T. Casey
29. John C. Williamson
30. Open
32. George N. Zenovich
33. Charles B. Garrigus
34. Alan G. Pattee
35. Myron H. Frew
36. Winfield A. Shoemaker
37. Burt M. Henson
38. Open
39. Willard E. Hastings
40. Edward E. Elliott
41. Tom C. Carrell
42. Bob Moretti
43. Samuel J. Rifken
44. Joseph M. Kennick
45. Alfred H. Song
46. Open
47. Michael M. Stolzberg
48. George E. Danielson
49. Robert A. Chrisman
50. Philip L. Soto
51. Jack R. Fenton
52. George A. Willson
53. Mervyn M. Dymally
54. Open
55. F. Douglas Ferrell
56. Charles Warren
57. Ted Ellsworth
58. Harvey Johnson
59. Anthony C. Beilensen
60. Open
61. Lester A. McMillan
62. Tom Waite
63. Don A. Allen, Sr.
64. W. A. "Del" Stelck
65. Jesse M. Unruh
66. Joe A. Gonsalves
67. Clayton A. Dills
68. Vincent Thomas
69. William E. Dannemeyer
70. Paul J. Erskine
71. Ray Johnson
72. John P. Quimby
73. Open
74. Donald L. (Don) Chapman
75. Cruz Reynoso
76. Thomas P. Ruth
77. John Dail
78. Robert C. Coates
79. James R. Mills
80. George M. Hood

IN MARIN COUNTY—
Marin Rapid Transit District
Proposition B
VOTE YES
The individual homeowner has been virtually unaffected by the Act. Only four out of 192 complaints filed in the year ending 9-19-64 involved individual homeowners; none of these homeowner complaints was ordered to sell his home to anyone. Only one out of 129 completed cases even went to a public hearing. In 66 cases, conciliation effected corrective action; in 44 cases, there was insufficient evidence or no evidence of discrimination; and in 11 cases, the complaint was withdrawn.

The issue is whether certain people in the real estate industry will succeed in creating a specially privileged status for themselves, giving them absolute discretion in the conduct of their business, and prohibiting any state, county or city government from ever interfering with them by legislating in the field of equal opportunity in housing.

The argument for banning subscription TV because commercials could still be added to a subscription TV program and so-called free TV programs would be switched to subscription TV is balanced by the new employment and cultural opportunities afforded by this potentially unique communications medium.

This proposal for a state-wide lottery would grant a monopoly to the American Sweepstakes Corporation for 10 years, allowing it to reap an estimated $650 million in profits in that time—not one penny of which will go in the Educational Fund.

The railroads would replace these Labor Code provisions (already voted into law by the people of California) with an arbitration ruling which will only be in effect for two years and which was never intended to apply to California and the other states with full crew laws. At the end of the two years, the railroads would impose their own rules on the manning of freight trains, thus returning California's safety to the era of domination by the railroad barons. The railroad's issue of "featherbedding" is a phony one. The PUC is now specifically responsible for safety training in its jurisdiction over railroad safety. This attempt by the railroads to get rid of the PUC's jurisdiction over railroad safety would impose their own rules on the manning of freight trains, thus returning California's safety to the era of domination by the railroad barons.

How Your Congressman Voted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sales and Rentals of Residential Real Property</td>
<td>VOTE NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Television Programs Initiative</td>
<td>Made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lottery Initiative Constitutional Amendment</td>
<td>VOTE NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Railroad Train Crews Initiative</td>
<td>VOTE NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sales and Rentals of Residential Real Property</td>
<td>VOTE NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Television Programs Initiative</td>
<td>Made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lottery Initiative Constitutional Amendment</td>
<td>VOTE NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Railroad Train Crews Initiative</td>
<td>VOTE NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sales and Rentals of Residential Real Property</td>
<td>VOTE NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Television Programs Initiative</td>
<td>Made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lottery Initiative Constitutional Amendment</td>
<td>VOTE NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Railroad Train Crews Initiative</td>
<td>VOTE NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposition Number</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sales and Rentals of Residential Real Property</td>
<td>VOTE NO</td>
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N.I.D. Wages Go Up

A major accomplishment in Local 1245's efforts to represent its membership employed by the Nevada Irrigation District took place during a meeting with the District's labor committee on September 2nd. The District's new Operating Manual, which sets forth a number of standard practices relating to the District's operation, was submitted to the Union's negotiating committee for review and comment.

The provisions of the Operating Manual, particularly the standard practices relating to personnel policies, employee purchase benefits, allowable expenses and safety were reviewed by the Union's committee and discussed with the membership at a special meeting. The Union's review found that the District had accepted not only a large portion of the language but also the principles set forth in the Union's original proposals of February 20, 1964.

Improvements gained included:

* Increased vacation allowances and sick leave;
* Establishment of a grievance procedure;
* Establishment of seniority as a factor in promotions, demotions and layoffs due to lack of work;
* Posting of job vacancies and the right to submit applications thereon;
* And payment for work in higher classifications above $500 per month, up to 8% for those below $300. A special adjustment of $37.50 per month was made for Ditch Tenders resulting in an increase of approximately 10%.

Vicki Nash for Nevada Assembly

Following an appearance before Reno Unit members to outline her views on labor sponsored legislation and to present her resume of past political activities, Sierra Pacific members acted to recommend Vicki Nash for the Nevada Assembly. August 24th.

A long-time friend of Labor and former member of the Reno Newspaper Guild, MRS. NASH has won endorsement from such organizations as the Nevada State Association of Electrical Workers, and the Washoe County Central Trades and Labor Council, as well as our Nevada membership in the Reno Unit, and the Local 1245 Executive Board.

Goldwater's Record Scored

In accepting the Vice Presidential nomination at the Democratic Convention, Senator Hubert H. Humphrey made these observations on the present political situation:

"The American presidency is not the place for a man who is impetuous at one moment, indecisive the next, whose statements on matters of major policy are so confusing and contradictory that neither friend nor foe knows where he stands."

"And my fellow Americans, it is of the highest importance that both friend and foe know what the American President means what he says and says what he means."

"The temporary Republican spokesman is not only out of tune with the great majority of his countrymen. He is even out of step with his own party."

In the last three and a half years most Democrats and Republicans have agreed on the great decisions our nation has made.

"BUT NOT SENATOR GOLDWATER!"

"He has been facing backward—against the mainstream of history."

Nuclear Test Ban Treaty

"Most Democrats and most Republicans in the United States Senate voted for the nuclear test ban treaty."

"BUT NOT SENATOR GOLDWATER!"

Tax Cut Law

"Most Democrats and most Republicans in the United States Senate voted for an $11.5 billion tax cut for the American people."

"BUT NOT SENATOR GOLDWATER!"

Civil Rights Act

"Most Democrats and most Republicans in the United States Senate—in fact, over four-fifths of the members of his own party—voted for the Civil Rights Act of 1964."

"BUT NOT SENATOR GOLDWATER!"

Arms Control and Disarmament Agency

"Most Democrats and most Republicans in the United States Senate voted for establishment of the U.S. arms control and disarmament agency that seeks to slow the nuclear arms race among nations."

"BUT NOT SENATOR GOLDWATER!"

Medical Education Program

"Most Democrats and most Republicans in the United States Senate voted last year for an expanded medical education program."

"BUT NOT SENATOR GOLDWATER!"

Education Legislation

"Most Democrats and most Republicans in the United States Senate voted for education legislation."

"BUT NOT SENATOR GOLDWATER!"

National Defense Education Act

"Most Democrats and most Republicans in the United States Senate voted for the national defense education act."

"BUT NOT SENATOR GOLDWATER!"
By Fred Goetz

In keeping with the forthcoming season on migratory waterfowl here's a little dressing technique we're throwing on the scattergunner's campfire for what it's worth:

**STEP NO. 1** . . . First thing you want to do is pick feathers around the neck. On this particular bird it proves best to start at the head feathers and work down toward the feet. A proven method is to grasp small groups of feathers between thumb and forefinger and turn your hand over in a movement akin to turning a key in the keyhole. You may tear the skin if you try to remove too many feathers at a time.

**STEP NO. 2** . . . At this point the oil gland in the tail may be removed. Cut the entire gland free and make sure you remove all whitish material in the two glands under the skin (leaving the feet and head on, up to this point provides "handles" to work with).

**STEP NO. 3** . . . Bird is "broken down" providing easy access for removal of internal organs after the lateral incision is made along the base of the rib cage. For large birds, the edge of the table serves as a fulcrum.

**STEP NO. 4** . . . Remove the liver, heart and gizzard for giblets. Peel away muscle from gizzard sac, being careful not to cut into the sac. Wrap giblets in wax paper for storage in body cavity if bird is to be frozen.

**STEP NO. 5** . . . Wash the bird thoroughly and drain well. After draining, the bird can be packaged in freezer bag, aluminum foil or double-thicknesses of locker paper. The package should be airtight! Mark species of bird and date of kill on package.

Perhaps you've noted we have used a honker for this illustration. It's a big bird and easy to work with. This same cleaning procedure can be applied to pretty much any species of waterfowl.

**STEP NO. 6** . . . Remove the liver, heart and gizzard for giblets. Peel away muscle from gizzard sac, being careful not to cut into the sac. Wrap giblets in wax paper for storage in body cavity if bird is to be frozen.

APPLICATION FOR ABSENT VOTER'S BALLOT

**Date**

I hereby apply for an OFFICIAL BALLOT of my Precinct and District for the November 3, 1964, General Election because I expect to be absent from my Election Precinct on the day of the above election or unable to vote therein by reason of physical disability.

**Ballot to be mailed to me:**

**at:**

**Sign Name in Full:**

**Registered Address of Applicant:**

NOTE: This application must be submitted no later than 5 p.m., Oct. 27, 1964. Send to your County Clerk.

G.C. Executive Board Member Tony Boker gives the Executive Board report to G.C. employees at the meeting held in San Jose. Other Special G.C. Meetings were held in the southern area during October at San Luis Obispo, Salinas, Oakland, Stockton, Modesto, Fresno and Bakersfield. Special G.C. Meetings will soon be scheduled for the northern area by Business Representatives Gar Ogletree, at right above, and Frank Anderson.

The Outdoor Scene