"He that will not reason is a bigot; he that cannot reason is a fool; and he that dares not reason is a slave."

—Sir W. m. Drummond
The UTILITY REPORTER
SEPTEMBER, 1958

THE MAIL BAG

In the March, April, May, and June issues of the UTILITY REPORTER, I have published the procedures of how to acquire the union label. However, in the July issue, I have mentioned that the union label is a symbol of the union and its products are not necessarily better than those that are not unionized. This is a misunderstanding, and I would like to clarify the situation.

The union label is a symbol of the union and its products are not necessarily better than those that are not unionized. This misunderstanding can lead to the wrong conclusion that unionized products are always superior, which is not necessarily true. The union label is a symbol of the union and its products are not necessarily better than those that are not unionized.

There are many factors that contribute to the quality of a product, and they are not necessarily related to whether the product is unionized or not. The union label is a symbol of the union and its products are not necessarily better than those that are not unionized.
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The Latest in Male Attire?

Don't be alarmed fellows, this isn't a Christian Dior "sack dress" creation for men.

It's an outfit worn by Operators when doing close 4K switching and consists of an abscess smoke, asbestos hood and rubber gloves.

While it's not something that looks like it stepped out of a page from "ENQUIRY"—and we fervently hope it doesn't catch on—it does protect the whole area of an Operator's body while doing many types of close switching operations.

For obvious reasons, the "model" must remain anonymous.

---

MONTEREY COUNTY UNIONS PROVIDE CHILDREN'S CAMP

Organized labor in Monterey County has the distinction of having the only Youth Camp that is owned and operated by Labor Unions in the United States. This dream-camp for underprivileged children was made possible through the efforts of all Local Unions in Monterey County.

With the support of a great many civic leaders and the Army Engineers from Fort Ord, this camp became a reality on Aug. 17 with the first encampment of boys all over the County. A second encampment of thirty girls was on Aug. 28th.

Work began on the camp in June 1957 with completion expected in 1958. In the help of the Army Engineers, who took this project on as a training program for the soldiers at Fort Ord, and the many Union members and civic leaders all over Monterey County, this was accomplished in the short time of just one year.

Organized labor has contributed $10,000 to the camp's building fund while merchants and business establishments have donated materials and equipment plus money contributions.

In support to the camp, the Monterey County Young Workers' Foundation—the non-profit corporation formed by Labor to run the camp—is asking for donations of $10 a month from all union members. This amounts to $1.80 per member per year—certainly a small amount when measured by the truck load for all the kids and boys and girls from age 7 to 11, all members in Monterey County do their part.

Members of Local 1245 in Monterey County who want to support this very worthy project can mail their contributions to the following: Monterey Commercial Office, Cliff Ferris; Salinas Commercial Office, Fred Davis and Gordon Borges; Monterey Service Group, Charlie Lott; Salinas Service Group, Mcl Wallis; King City Soledad, Jim Hitchcock.

---

Chico Loses A Good Man

Ed Cassell, a good Local 1245 member since March 1947, retired in June from the FN Co. in Chico. Ed will be greatly missed by the FN Co. Ed has been with the company twenty-one years with the company.

Chico's another case where an employee came to work for a living and remained on the job for twenty years.

Ed's many friends and both Old and Young Men will miss him around work but sincerely wish him many years of enjoyable retirement.
A compulsory "open shop" law would ruin the effectiveness of the labor unions and take away many of the cherished rights which have been won for the working man. Such a law would be a great blow to the laboring people. People would not have the right to form a union and work under the conditions of employment relations which they have established. Such a compulsion to work under the conditions of employment relations which one does not want would be contrary to the freedom of contract possessed by each of us. A compulsory "open shop" law would also have the "right" to work, but he would also have the "right" to work under the conditions of employment relations which he wants. Therefore the workers would have the power and not the employers. Big Business looks out only for themselves, we need the Unions to look out for us! Why would you hire a man to represent you in a union? Doctors, lawyers and farmers belong to Unions in the form of Medical Associations, State Bars and Organics. Changing the name doesn't alter the fact that they are Unions! Working men need Unions to voice their needs. If there are no Unions, there are no rights for the people and they must work under the conditions of employment relations which are imposed, regardless of the whether the rights they would. In turn, the power of collective bargaining would be taken away from the unions, and wages would be cut to a bare minimum. This increasing unemployment would force the laborers to eke out a living.

Satirical and unpleasant conditions as I have stated will never come to pass if Proposition 18 is not defeated. I say, defeat "Right-to-Work" and the labor unions will continue to help California to prosper.

Charles Briggs, age 16, is first prize winner of the 'Right to Work' composition writing contest. Charlie was a Mechanic in the Joint Union High School. His teacher at PG&E's Kerckhoff Power House, Charles Vincent Basinger, age 17, and a 1938 graduate of Sierra Joint Union High School.

The judging on our children's essay entries on why "CALIFORNIA SHOULD NOT HAVE ANY COMPELLING OPEN SHOP LAW" has been completed by three members of the University of California committee: Dr. Carl Ehrich, Dr. Earl Cook, and Dr. John Montgomery. The winners are listed below:

First Prize Winner: CHARLES BRIGGS, age 16, is 1st Award Winner Mary Riggs' brother. He is a Junior at Sierra Joint Union High School and Mary's father, Henry, should indeed be proud of his son and daughter.

Second Prize Winner: MARY ABBOTT BIGGS, age 17 and a 1938 graduate of Sierra Joint Union High School. Her father was a controller at PG&E's Kerckhoff Power House and a local 1245 member since 1942.

Third Prize Winner: ALBERT VINE Basinger, a Mechanic in the JUHSS. His teacher at Joint Union High School. The winning essay is "The Compulsory Open Shop Law," it is apparent that such a law would be a great blow to the laboring people.
to the movement itself. They are pulling out all stops to labor movement in America devoted to passage of a national "right-to-work" law.

Another group who is dead set in wallowing unions is the so-called anti-labor big business in the southern states. They demand that unions be disfranchised and that a federal "right-to-work" law be enacted, that industry-wide and state-wide collective bargaining be prohibited. They would prohibit even peaceful picketing. They insist that labor-management law administration be turned over to the federal government and that commerce be involved. They advocate emasculation of the $1 an hour minimum wage and the 40-hour week.

In the convention which adopted resolutions on the above with a further demand for legislation, the so-called "anti-labor" from both sides of the NAM, they demand that unions be disfranchised and that a federal "right-to-work" law be enacted, that industry-wide and state-wide collective bargaining be prohibited. They would prohibit even peaceful picketing. They insist that labor-management law administration be turned over to the federal government and that commerce be involved. They advocate emasculation of the $1 an hour minimum wage and the 40-hour week.
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Union Agrees on Gas Servicemen Training Entrance Requirements

Discussions on entrance requirements of employees for Gas Servicemen Training have been concluded by the Apprenticeship Training Committee and the signing of a Memo of Agreement with the PG&E Company, to be effective Aug. 1, 1958.

Local 1445's Committee members, John Matalich, Jerry Woerl and L. L. Mitchell and Company members Willard Murray, Ed Sidney and Percy Odershow arrived at agreement on establishment of four basic minimum requirements—Educational, Desirability, Written Legibility and Spelling.

The Written Legibility and Spelling test, with a passing grade of 84 required, will be used as a measure of education. In computing scores, credits for age and prior work experience will be given. A person who has received a passing grade before progressing to the next test will be given five minutes to review and then take the test again.

Employees who wish to qualify for entrance to the program shall notify their supervisor in writing of their intent. Tests will be arranged by the supervisor and will be taken on Company time.

An employee who does not pass the test the first time will not be eligible for re-testing until a period of at least three months has elapsed. He will not be required to retake any tests on which he has received a passing grade. If he fails the second time, he will not be eligible for re-testing for at least a year from the date of the second failure has elapsed, after which the test may be taken for the third time. He shall not be required to give further consideration for Serviceman Training to any employee who fails a test for the third time.

This Agreement represents all of the terms of the contract worked out by this Joint Committee. While it does not provide all the answers to problems related to qualifications, it does establish minimum standards which are definable and which will be binding on both parties.

It will provide each individual who wishes to enter the training program with the opportunity to prepare himself before any contract is signed.

Although it has taken quite some time to work this Agreement out, it has been thoroughly reviewed and general principles established which can be used in preparing other Agreements. Work is continuing in this area. This Agreement should be used as a basis for other classifications and can readily be adapted as necessary.

Unions members of the Apprenticeship Committee noted that every point raised by them was the result of the program and the interest of the employees. The decision clearly outlines new, improved procedures. The Prime Committee of the PG&E Company for developing the program with an eye towards the future.

Confusion on Work Law

A review of the opinions expressed by PG&E Company agents and National Personnel will be given five minutes to review and then take the test again. Employees who have received a passing grade before progressing to the next test will be given five minutes to review and then take the test again.

An employee who does not pass the test the first time will not be eligible for re-testing until a period of at least three months has elapsed. He will not be required to retake any tests on which he has received a passing grade. If he fails the second time, he will not be eligible for re-testing for at least a year from the date of the second failure has elapsed, after which the test may be taken for the third time. He shall not be required to give further consideration for Serviceman Training to any employee who fails a test for the third time.

This Agreement represents all of the terms of the contract worked out by this Joint Committee. While it does not provide all the answers to problems related to qualifications, it does establish minimum standards which are definable and which will be binding on both parties.

It will provide each individual who wishes to enter the training program with the opportunity to prepare himself before any contract is signed.

Although it has taken quite some time to work this Agreement out, it has been thoroughly reviewed and general principles established which can be used in preparing other Agreements. Work is continuing in this area. This Agreement should be used as a basis for other classifications and can readily be adapted as necessary.

Union Wins Meal Case
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Plans to produce electric power from the natural steam of欧阳视的 discovered recently by the PG&E Company.

The Company announced that it has signed a letter of intent with the California Public Utilities Commission for authorization to install a 12,360 kw turbine at the Atascadero geothermal power plant near the geothermal resource located south of Atascadero, San Luis Obispo County, and southwest of the city of Paso Robles.

This project is anticipated to be in operation by the end of May 1959.
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Annual Fight is On

The next round in man's battle to control the insect is now shaping up this summer and fall. There was no official "fight" last year, but the public did a bang-up job of reducing the population of flies, mosquitoes, and other insects. Yet it is important to take steps to destroy the breeding places of flies and mosquitoes, and equally important to keep these insects out of the house. To safeguard your home against these pests, and to ensure your summer's comfort, the Department of Agriculture's research survey presents the following helpful hints to householders:

Hang screen doors to open outside, using an airline door closer and a screen door key latch or lock to insures doors that close quietly and stay closed.

Use larvicide lights in the house. Use residual sprays or aerosol bombs in the house.

Keep water free of standing water in pools, troughs, and garbage cans.

Play with the 16-mesh-to-the-inch screens on doors and windows.

Statistics prove that women are very important in this world of ours. They were recently printed in the ELECTRICAL WORLD and we men should take a close look at the following:

More women vote than men.

They number 2 to 1 million in the U.S.

They own 36% of the stock of all publicly owned utilities.

They own 76% of the new homes, 40% of all homes, and 30% of all income earning homes.

They influence 85% of all consumer buying in the U.S.

They have 70% of all personal income.

They own 65% of all assets in mutual savings banks.

They make 61% of all the economically important purchases.

They are beneficiaries of 80% of all private life insurance.

They are the most important economic group member.
A conference for Shop Stewards working in PG&E's General Counsel's Office was held on Saturday, August 9th. Participants traveled from as far north as Red Bluff and from Needles in the south and are to be commended for their efforts in furthering improvement of working conditions and the field of labor-management relations.

G. C. STEWARDS MEET; EXPENSES MAJOR TOPIC
Meeting with PG&E on Aug. 22nd, Judge Luscomb, Kenneth Baird, Donald Peters and Asst. Bus. Managers L. M. Mitchell and M. A. Walters presented Union's position on performance of maintenance work in PG&E's General Counsel's Office. Company pointed out that there would be a certain amount of work and both parties agreed that it was desirous that it be done by a consulting firm.

The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the possibility of using supplementary workmen to take care of the backlog of work referred to. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the possibility of using supplementary workmen to take care of the backlog of work referred to. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the possibility of using supplementary workmen to take care of the backlog of work referred to. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the possibility of using supplementary workmen to take care of the backlog of work referred to.

Company raised the question of the implementation of various recommendations of the U.S. Supreme Court involving expenses and fees and felt that any decision on a case should have a considerable bearing on the present case.

As previously agreed, Company's attorney presented the results of the survey it had made relative to the subject matter and both parties agreed that the facts in preparation for a future meeting.

COLUMBIA
I BEW BACKED CANDIDATE WINS IN NEVADA ELECTION
Grant Sawyer, young and progressive District Attorney of Elko County, Nevada, started veteran lawman Woodley Brown by the most margin in Nevada's primary election for Attorney General on September 8th.

Sawyer won the Democratic nomination for Governor of Nevada, and the future of the Republican Party in the state is in the air, the race having been influenced by a series of political spots in the forthcoming general elections. Sawyer was the top Republican candidate, supported by the Reno Unit Political Education Committee and the Reno Republican Federation. He was endorsed by the Democratic candidates for President and Vice President and by the Republican candidates for Governor and Lieutenant Governor.

Brown was the top Republican candidate, supported by the Reno Unit Political Education Committee and the Reno Republican Federation. He was endorsed by the Democratic candidates for President and Vice President and by the Republican candidates for Governor and Lieutenant Governor.

Sawyer's victory is a significant one in the history of the Republican Party in Nevada. He is the first Republican candidate to win in a Nevada election, and his victory is a sign of the growing strength of the Republican party in the state.

The significance of Sawyer's victory is that it has implications for the future of the Republican Party in Nevada. The party has been in the minority in the state legislature for many years, and Sawyer's victory is a sign that the party may be gaining strength.

For the first time in the history of the Republican Party in Nevada, a Republican candidate has won a statewide election. This is a significant victory for the party, and it is a sign of the growing strength of the Republican party in the state.
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