

MAIL CODE P15B

P.O. BOX 770000

(415) 973-8510

REVIEW COMMITTEE

FEB - 9 1994 CASE CLOSED LOGGED AND FILED

RECEIVED FEB - 8 1994

RICK R. DOERING, CHAIRMAN

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

201 MISSION STREET, ROOM 1508

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94177

DECISION □ LETTER DECISION □ PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL

Russian River Division Grievance RUS-91-33 SF Bay Power Plants Grievances SFP-93-04 & SFP-93-05 Mission Trail Region Grievance SJO-93-12 Ad Hoc Committee 33-93-2 RC 1754

REVIEW COMMITTEE DECISION

Subject of the Grievance:

These cases question whether employees on a nine-day, eighty-hour (9/80) schedule who work 45 minutes beyond their regular 9 hour workday are entitled to a meal.

Facts of the Case:

These three cases have a similar set of facts. The grievants worked between 37.5 and 45 minutes prearranged overtime at the conclusion of their regularly scheduled ninehour workday. These overtime assignments required the employees to work more than 5 hours since their last meal.

Discussion:

The Union's position is that an employee is entitled to a meal after a work period of five hours in accordance with Industrial Welfare Commission Order 4-89, Section 11. This provision applies whether an employee is working an 8-hour day, a 9-hour day, or a 10-hour day.

The Company opines that an employee is not contractually entitled to a meal unless the employee works more than one hour beyond regular work hours. These grievances involve employees working only 37.5 to 45 minutes beyond regular work hours. Letter Agreement R2-90-235, which established the 9-day, 80-hour schedule, provides that overtime meals shall be provided in accordance with Title 104 (one hour beyond regular work hours).

104.4 (P) -OT meal due after 16.3(a) (C) working more than ¹₂ hr beyond reg

/working 9-hr /day.

IBEV

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF



ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W P.O. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (510) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

RC 1754

Page 2

The Company also notes that IWC Order 4-89 specifies that (a) an employee may work for a period of time longer than 5 hours without benefit of a meal as long as the day's work is completed within 6 hours, and (b) the meal is waived by mutual consent of the employer and employee. The Union, as the representative of the employee, has given its consent as evidenced in the language in Letter Agreement R2-90-235.

Decision:

These cases were referred to Ad Hoc Negotiations where the Company and Union negotiated Letter Agreement 93-97, establishing generic guidelines for the nine-hour workday schedule. Paragraph 4 of the letter agreement provides for employees who work more than one half hour beyond normal work hours on a nine-hour workday to receive an overtime meal provided that they have worked more than five hours since the last meal.

The grievants shall be paid for a meal. These cases are closed on the basis of this settlement and should be so noted by the Local Investigating Committees.

FOR COMPANY:

William G. McLoughlin Lawrence F. Womack Jane K. Yura

JOHN A. MOFFAT, Chairman Review Committee

Date:

SARayburn(583-4281)

FOR UNION:

William R. Bouzek II James G. Lynn Sherrick Slattery

ROGER W. STALCUP, Secretary Review Committee

Date: