

PG and E

FOR INTRA — COMPANY USES

DIVISION OR DEPARTMENT INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
FILE NO. 741.5
RE LETTER OF
SUBJECT Review Committee File No. 1174
San Joaquin Division Grievance No. D.Gr/C 25-72-1
Materials Man Operating Mobile Crane


June 27, 1974

MR. A. O. CLARK, Chairman
San Joaquin Division
Joint Grievance Committee

The above-subject grievance has been discussed by the Review Committee and is being returned to the Division for settlement in accordance with the following:

The unresolved issue should again be reviewed and then settled in accordance with this year's contract settlement, specifically, the revisions to the Crane Operator Job Definitions in Exhibit VI-A, "Job Definitions and Lines of Progression - Materials Distribution, Division Materials Department, Including Pipe Line Operations Department."

This case is considered closed and should be so noted in the minutes of your next Joint Grievance Committee meeting.



L. V. BROWN, Chairman
Review Committee

DJBergman:rto

cc: EEFoley
IWBonbright
JAFairchild
PMatthew
Personnel Managers
LN Foss, IBEW

PG and E
FOR INTRA - COMPANY USES

DIVISION OR DEPARTMENT
FILE NO.
RE LETTER OF SUBJECT

INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
741.5

RECEIVED
SEP 19 1973
LOCAL 1245, I.B.E.W.

	LMN
	MAJ
	JJW
	LNK
	LNV
	LPW
	LQW
	LRT
	LSA
	LSG
	LSH
	LSP
	LST
	LSU
	LSV
	LSW
	LSX
	LSY
	LSZ

Review Committee File No. 1174
San Joaquin Division Grievance No. D.Gr/C 25-72-1
Materials Man Operating Mobile Crane

September 18, 1973

MR. A. O. CLARK, Chairman
San Joaquin Division
Joint Grievance Committee

During several of its last meetings the Review Committee has discussed the above-subject grievance. We are returning the case to your Committee for settlement along the following lines:

The grievance raises the question of the appropriate rate of pay of a Materials Man while operating a Cole mobile crane approximately three hours a day to load and unload poles.

In comparing notes of discussions that took place during the bargaining of June 25, 1972 revised job definition for Materials Man, it is not clear to this Committee that the particular utilization of the crane in this instance was considered. The Committee did note that certain other pieces of material handling equipment were being operated by Materials Men, and that this was proper.

In view of this lack of clear understanding with respect to the negotiations of the revised job definition, the Committee considers this case closed. It is understood that this disposition of the grievance does not prejudice either party in that the matter is properly a subject for the next Company-Union negotiations.

The disposition of this case should be noted in the minutes of your next Joint Grievance Committee meeting.

L. V. Brown
L. V. BROWN, Chairman
Review Committee

- LVB:tma
- cc: EEFoley
- IWBonbright
- RPBenton
- JAFairchild

- DJBergman
- DSSolberg
- HJStefanetti
- LNfoss, IBEW ✓