

**COPY**

29B

**INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS**  
741.5

Review Committee File No. 1166  
General Construction Grievance No. D.Gr/C 3-71-5  
Why Seven Employees Were Released at Noon

January 25, 1974

MR. M. H. CHANDLER, Chairman  
General Construction  
Joint Grievance Committee

The above-subject grievance has been discussed several times by the Review Committee and is being returned to your Committee for settlement in accordance with the following:

Additional information was requested from you by letter dated October 24, 1972. After reviewing your answer of December 7, 1972, and subsequent conversations regarding clarification of statement No. 1 of your answer, it is the opinion of the Review Committee that the department's actions were proper; and the case should be closed without adjustment. This case is considered closed and should be so noted in the minutes of your next Joint Grievance Committee meeting.

L. V. BROWN

L. V. BROWN, Chairman  
Review Committee

DJBergman:mt

cc: CHSedam  
IWBonbright  
JAFairchild  
PMatthew  
Personnel Managers  
LNFoss, IBEW ✓

**PG and E**  
FOR INTRA - COMPANY USES

**RECEIVED**

OCT 26 1972

LOCAL 1245, I.B.E.W.

	LLM	
	MAW	
	JJW	
7	LNF	
	DER	
	JKM	
	KOL	
	EMS	
	MRK	
	DMG	
	LLM	
	R/S	
	FILE	

DIVISION OR DEPARTMENT: INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS  
FILE NO.: 741.5  
RE LETTER OF: Review Committee File No. 1166  
SUBJECT: General Construction Grievance No. D.Gr/C 3-71-5  
Sending Seven Regular Employees Home at Noon

October 24, 1972

MR. M. H. CHANDLER, Chairman  
General Construction  
Joint Grievance Committee

The above-subject grievance has been discussed by the Review Committee and is being returned to the Joint Grievance Committee so that it may answer the following questions in regard to the factual situation on the day in question, Friday, March 26, 1971:

1. Two grievants worked until noon assisting another crew repairing a leak in an underground oil line at Potrero Power Plant. Was this crew also released and sent home at noon that day?
2. Was the entire crew, those originally assigned plus the three grievants, who performed the work on the Army and Mission Streets job released and sent home at noon?
3. Was there any cleanup work available for the two grievants who performed such work until noon?
4. What other General Construction crews working in San Francisco were released at noon and sent home?

When the above questions have been answered, please return the grievance to the Review Committee for settlement.

L. V. BROWN

L. V. BROWN, Chairman  
Review Committee

PNLong:RS

- cc: CHSedam  
IWBonbright  
JAFairchild  
HJStefanetti  
HGCooke  
- LNFoss, IBEW

**PG and E**  
**FOR INTRA - COMPANY USES**

**RECEIVED**

DEC 12 1972

LOCAL 1215 IBEW

DIVISION OR DEPARTMENT GENERAL CONSTRUCTION

FILE NO. 741.5

RE LETTER OF

SUBJECT Review Committee File 1166  
General Construction Grievance D. Gr/C 3-71-5  
Sending Seven Regular Employees Home at Noon

December 7, 1972

MR. L. V. BROWN:  
Chairman, Review Committee

Here are answers to the questions posed in your letter of October 24, 1972, regarding the subject grievance.

1. The crew being assisted by the two grievants worked all day.
2. The entire crew, along with the three grievants, was released and sent home at noon.
3. All available cleanup work was completed by noon.
4. Two other Gas Construction crews were released and sent home at noon.

M. H. Chandler, Chairman  
General Construction  
Joint Grievance Committee

J. A. Cates vbb

- cc Chas. H. Sedam  
I. W. Bonbright  
J. A. Fairchild  
H. J. Stefanetti  
H. G. Cooke  
L. N. Foss, IBEW ✓