

# **REVIEW COMMITTEE**



PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY LABOR RELATIONS DEPARTMENT MAIL CODE N2Z P.O. BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 (415) 973-6725

JOHN A. MOFFAT, CHAIRMAN DECISION LETTER DECISION PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL RECEIVED by LU 1245 May 18, 2011

CASE CLOSED FILED & LOGGED

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. P.O. BOX 2547 VACAVILLE, CALIFORNIA 94696 (707) 452-2700

FE (Ed) Dwyer Jr., SECRETARY

Pre-Review Committee Nos. 19498 and 20158 Customer Care – Customer Services – Area 5

Margaret Franklin Company Member Local Investigating Committee Arlene Edwards
Union Member
Local Investigating Committee

### Subject of the Grievance

Supervisors are allegedly performing bargaining unit work by keying customer information at the Kiosk.

#### Facts of the Case

The first grievance was filed in August of 2009 (19498) and the second grievance was filed in April of 2010 (20158). Both cases alleged the same infraction, Supervisors performing bargaining unit work.

The supervisor stated that after the first grievance was filed the only time she inputted a payment using the kiosk was for her account and after her work hours. She has tested the printer, helped clear paper jams and helped with printer problems.

The grievant stated that she had received several emails from employees who observed the supervisor helping customers. This help included showing customer how to use the kiosk and on one occasion wrote out a receipt.

The supervisor stated when asked she points customers toward payment options, drop box, kiosks and neighborhood payment centers.

## Discussion

The Company position in these case is the work is either a shared duty, de minimus or outside the scope of the bargaining unit classifications. The de minimus work in these cases is at best a slight departure resulting in no injury and was done in good faith. The work in question is also trifling and immaterial and should not be taken into account when considering whether a jurisdictional violation occurred.

The Union argued that manpower planning has always been performed by the bargaining unit and that the work with customers at the Kiosks is bargaining unit work. This work is exclusively bargaining unit work and that management should cease and desist performing these duties.

## Decision

The committee is recommending that these cases go back to the Fact Finding Committee to be closed without adjustment. The issues in these cases are addressed in Business Manager's Grievance No. 20621 (10-06).

Chaalth	for In.
John A. Moffat, Chairman	F.E. (Ed) Dwyer r, Secretary
Review Committee	Review Committee
5/16/2011 Date	5 16 2011 Date
Dale	Dale