

North Valley Division Grievance No. CHI-93-51-300
Fact Finding No. 5593-94-42
PRC 1968
Memorandum of Disposition

SUBJECT OF THE GRIEVANCE:

This grievance concerns the contracting of work instead of rehiring laid off Title 300 employees.


DISCUSSION:


The grievance was returned to the LIC to determine if adjustment had already been made in the remedy granted in RC 1777.

A review of the relative dates on the subject grievance confirm that the time frame on this case is the same as in RC 1777, and appropriate remedy was granted with the RC 1777 settlement.

DISPOSITION:

The parties agree to close this case without further adjustment.


MICKEY HARRINGTON, IBEW Concur/Dissent 9-19-95 Date


MOLLY WILLIAMS, PG&E Concur/Dissent 9/19/95 Date



REVIEW COMMITTEE



IBEW

RECEIVED SEP 07 1995

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
201 MISSION STREET, ROOM 1508
MAIL CODE P15B
P.O. BOX 770000
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94177
(415) 973-8510

**CASE CLOSED
FILED & LOGGED**

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF
ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO
LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W
P.O. BOX 4790
WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596
(510) 933-6060
R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

MARGARET A. SHORT, CHAIRMAN

- DECISION
- LETTER DECISION
- PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL


Grievance No AUB-93-08-300
Grievance No CHI-93-51-300
Pre Review Committee File Nos. 1887, 1968

These cases involve company's use of contractors by Hydro Construction while there were qualified laid-off employees in the rehire pool. The issues raised in these cases, the grievants, the time frame, and the department appear to be the same as in Review Committee File No. 1777 which was settled with adjustment March 23, 1995.


These cases are being returned to the LIC to resolve in accordance with RC 1777. If the time frame that the contractor was performing work is the same as in RC 1777, the cases should be settled without further adjustment, inasmuch as the required adjustment has already been granted by settlement of this earlier case. If the time frame is different than in RC 1777, then some adjustment may be in order.

The LIC is requested to confirm by memo to the Pre-Review Committee the outcome of its findings.

These cases are considered closed based on the foregoing, however, the PRC retains jurisdiction should the LIC not be able to resolve the issues.



Margaret Short, Chairman
Review Committee



Roger W. Stalcup, Secretary
Review Committee

9/7/95

Date

9/7/95

Date