

REVIEW COMMITTEE

3



PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 215 MARKET STREET, ROOM 916 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 (415) 973-1125

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

DECISION

LETTER DECISION PRE-REVIEW REFERRAL JUL - 3 1991

CASE CLOSED LOGGED AND FILED

RECEIVED JUN 2 5 1991

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. PO. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

Steam Generation Grievance No. SF-24-589-90-26 P-RC 1504

J

LORRAINE NOSS, Company Member Steam Generation Local Investigating Committee ED CARUSO, Union Member Steam Generation Local Investigating Committee

Subject of the Grievance:

This case concerns the entitlement to a dinner meal allowance for a Steam Generation Crew who was on a traveling assignment and receiving a daily per diem allowance in accordance with the provisions of Title 201.

Facts of the Case:

The grievants from Geysers Power Plant were on a traveling assignment to Potrero Power Plant and were receiving the daily per diem allowance of \$36 which is intended to cover three meals and other incidentals.

The grievants were assigned to the second shift of a 202.17 schedule working a 10 hours per day/six days per week schedule with one hour of overtime prior to and one hour of overtime after the normal eight hour shift. Regular in-plant personnel were paid a meal upon dismissal (upon closer inspection of the record, it is questionable as to whether a meal was owed) and one half hour time allowance to consume the meal. The grievants were allowed only the one half hour but not the meal payment because, in Company's opinion, they were already being compensated for the dinner meal with per diem allowance. Company did agree, however, that if the grievants worked long enough to qualify for another meal, they indeed would be entitled to the meal payment as provided for a Subsection 104.10(b).

The Pre-Review Committee noted that Section 104.1 states: "The provisions of the Title shall be interpreted and applied in a practical manner...." and to compensate employees twice for the same meal would be in conflict with the intent of this section. The grievants were entitled to the one half hour time allowance, however, because the meal was earned on overtime.

P-RC 1504

Discussion and Decision:

The Committee agreed that no violation of the agreement occurred and this case is closed without adjustment. Such closure should be so noted by the Local Investigating Committee.

DAVID J. BERGMAN Chairman Review Committee

MAShort(223-1123):wb

ROGER W.) STALCUP, Secretary Review Committee