



CASE CLOSED

LOGGED AND FILED RECEIVED JUL 3 1 1990

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO LOCAL UNION 1245, I.B.E.W. PO. BOX 4790 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596 (415) 933-6060 R.W. STALCUP, SECRETARY

D.J. BERGMAN, CHAIRMAN

DECISION LETTER DECISION PRE-REVIEW REFERBAL Humboldt Division Grievance No. RW-HU-04-60-88-76-10 (P-RC 1370) Humboldt Division Grievance Nos. RW-HU-04-60-88-64-6 & RW-HU-04-60-88-66-7 (P-RC 1371)

AUG - 8 1990

July 18, 1990

DAN COOPER, Company Member Humboldt Division Local Investigating Committee

BOB CHOATE, Union Member Humboldt Division Local Investigating Committee

Subject of the Grievances

These cases concern Company's temporarily upgrading Linemen to Troublemen to perform field and station switching on prearranged overtime. Union alleges that pursuant to Letter Agreement R2-88-48-PGE, Company should have utilized System Operators when there were insufficient Troublemen.

Facts of the Cases

P-RC 1370 (RW-HU-04-60-88-76-10)

Company prearranged one Troubleman and four Linemen upgraded to Troubleman to work prearranged overtime on Sunday, October 16, 1988 to perform field and station switching prior to and at the conclusion of a project worked by a T&D crew. For the upgraded Linemen, this amounted to one hour and 50 minutes of switching per person.

P-RC 1371 (RW-HU-04-60-88-64-6 & RW-HU-04-60-88-66-7)

Company prearranged for two Linemen upgraded to Troubleman to perform field switching on Sunday, September 11, 1988. The employees worked from 4:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. When not performing switching operations, the employees worked as Linemen on the crew. Approximately half the switching was field operations.

Discussion

The Committee reviewed Letter Agreement R2-88-48, specifically Attachment C, Item 2(b) which states:

"When considering qualified switchmen for overtime switching at unattended stations, System Operators will be considered before Electricians, but after Relief Operators, Utility Operators, Roving Operators, or Troublemen. The practice of Electricians switching for their own work will continue."





P-RC 1370 & 1371

It is clear from the above that it was anticipated by the parties that there would be occasions where it is appropriate to utilize System Operators to perform switching at unattended stations, that such work is a duty common to several classifications. Further, the parties agree that System Operators are not qualified to perform field switching.

The Local Investigating Committee report cites reasons why the Company believed it impractical to utilize System Operators in these specific work assignments, and the Pre-Review Committee is in agreement that practicality should be a consideration. However, the Union believes that if the work clearly calls for one person to perform field switching and another to perform station switching, an Operator should be utilized for the station switching if available regular Troublemen have been utilized. Alternatively, though, if the assignment cannot be so segregated, because an employee would be required to intersperse station switching with field switching, then it is appropriate to utilize the Troubleman classification, either regular or

Decision

Based on the foregoing discussion and giving consideration to issues of practicality, the Pre-Review Committee refers these cases back to the Local Investigating Committee to resolve. The Pre-Review Committee requests a copy of the settlement and retains jurisdiction if the Local Investigating Committee is unable to reach an agreement.

DAVID J. BERGMAN, Chairman Review Committee

MAShort(223-1123):nj

TALCUP, Secretary

Review Committee