FOR INTRA - COMPANY USES

DIVISION OR FILE NO.

IECT

ETTER OF

DEPARTMENT INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS

741.5

San Jose Division Grievance No. 8-74-12 Disciplinary Layoff of A. Pacini

P-RC 87

October 10, 1974

RECEIVED

NOV 22 1974

L.U. 1245 I.B.E.W.

MR. F. L. NETTELL, Chairman San Jose Division Joint Grievance Committee

The above-subject grievance has been reviewed by the Pre-Review Committee prior to its docketing on the agenda of the Review Committee and is being returned to the Division for settlement in accordance with the following:

The issue in this case has been resolved in prior Review Committee Decision Nos. 416 and 1194. Therefore, the Local Investigating Committee will refer to these Decision as a basis for settlement in view of the fact that the grievant was well aware of the rule and could reasonably expect discipline to follow from an infraction of the rule. With this being the case, the Local Investigating Committee should resolve the present grievance on the basis of the Division's last offer of settlement.

This case will not be accepted-by the Review Committee and is considered closed.

> L. V. BROWN, Chairman Review Committee

DJBergman:rto

VHLind

IWBonbright AMKezer LNFoss, IBEW

## REVIEW COMMITTEE DECISION

Review Committee File No. 416 San Francisco Division Grievance No. 63-1

### Subject of the Grievance

The grievance concerns a two-day disciplinary layoff without pay given to four Servicemen of the San Francisco Division Gas Department. The record submitted to the Review Committee indicates that these employees were observed drinking coffee in a coffee shop at 17th and Treat Street at about 4:15 p.m. on December 21, 1962. The facts further evidence that the coffee shop was not located in the areas serviced by the employees. After confronting the employees, the Division imposed a two-day layoff without pay as a disciplinary measure.

The correction asked for by the grievants is that they be reimbursed for wages lost during the two-day layoff.

### Discussion

At the outset it should be noted that the San Francisco Division Gas Department developed a department rule that Servicemen are not permitted to leave their area for the purpose of taking coffee together. In the Joint Statement of Facts submitted by the Division Local Investigating Committee, it is noted that in a past infraction of this rule a disciplinary layoff of two days was imposed. It is further noted by the Gas Department Supervisors that the above rule had been discussed with all Servicemen prior to the imposition of this penalty. None of the employees involved in this grievance denied knowledge of the rule.

#### Decision

FOR UNION.

In this case, where the employees were aware of the rule and that discipline could follow from an infraction of the rule, the Review Committee is not in a position to lessen the penalty imposed or mitigate the offense when the employees cause an infraction of the rule. It is, therefore, the opinion of the Review Committee that the correction sought by the grievants must be denied.

| low union.                                      | FOR COMPANY:                         |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| R. W. Fields<br>W. M. Fleming<br>L. L. Mitchell | E. F. Sibley C. L. Yager L. V. Brown |
| By s/ L. L. Mitchell                            | By s/ L. V. Brown                    |
| Date August 26, 1963                            | Date August 22, 1963                 |

# FOR INTRA - COMPANY USES

DIVISION OR DEPARTMENT

INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS

741.5

MAR 29 1973 COCAL 1245, I. & E.W.

FILE NO. RE LETTER OF

SUBJECT

Review Committee File No. 1194 San Jose Division Grievance No. 8-72-27

Disciplinary Suspension for One Day as a Result

of Unauthorized Stop for Coffee

March 28, 1973

MR. F. L. NETTELL, Chairman San Jose Division Joint Grievance Committee

The Review Committee has discussed the above-subject grievance and is returning it to the Division for settlement in accordance with the following:

This grievance is to be settled on the basis of Review Committee Decision No. 416. As in Review Committee Decision No. 416, the grievant was aware of the standing Company policy and aware that infractions would be met with disciplinary action of the nature imposed.

The case is considered closed and should be so noted in the minutes of the next Joint Grievance Committee meeting.

L 1. L. .....

L. V. BROWN, Chairman Review Committee

PNLong:RS

cc: VHLind

**IWBonbright** JAFairchild HJStefanetti

AMKezer

LNFoss, IBEW