PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

245 Market Street San Francisco 6 SUtter 1-4211

November 21, 1951

International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 1245 450 Harrison Street San Francisco 5, California

Attention: Mr. W. A. Smith
Acting Business Manager

Gentlemen:

HFC: MMC

Confirming our discussions, the Company proposes to adjust the wage rates of Division Operators to compensate for the increase in their responsibilities and to bring them into balance with other closely comparable classifications. Accordingly we propose to establish the following noted wage rate for Division Operators in East Bay and San Francisco Divisions, effective December 1, 1951:

Present Range Rate (Per Week)	Proposed Progressive Rate (Per Week)		
\$86.62 to \$89.26	Start End 6 mos. End 1 yr.	\$92.30 95.20 98.10	L

It is our opinion that the proposed adjustment is permissible under Section 6 of General Wage Regulation No. 5 of the Wage Stabilization Board, and therefore does not require prior approval of said Board.

If you are in accord with the foregoing and agree thereto, will you please so indicate on the duplicate original hereof and return it to me?

Yours very truly,

PACIFIC CAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Manager, Personnel Department

We are in accord with the foregoing and agree thereto this 23 day of November, 1951.

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL

WORKERS, LOCAL UNION NO. 1245

Acting Business Manager

245 Market Street San Francisco 6 SUtter 1=4211

In reply please refer to

December 30, 1952

Mr. Ronald T. Weakley, Business Manager Local 1245, I.B.E.W. - A.F.L. 1918 Grove Street Oakland 12, California

Dear Mr. Weakley:

At your request we have reviewed the duties and responsibilities of the Division Operators in Stockton Division to determine whether or not some adjustment may be justified in their rate of pay over the rate which was established in October of 1950, the time the classification was placed in effect.

In making our review it was necessary to compare the jobs of the Stockton Division Operators with the jobs of the Division Operators in San Francisco and East Bay Divisions which have long been established. The job contents to some degree might be considered comparable, but we are of the opinion that the responsibilities differ considerably. To point out some differences, we state that there are many more substations in San Francisco and East Bay than there are in Stockton, there is a great deal more underground in these two Divisions, and they have also become involved to some extent in generation which is not a fact in Stockton Division.

We must agree though that the job has gradually developed over what it was at the time it was originally established and in recognition of this we propose that another step be added to the present progression with the top to be reached at the end of one year.

The present and proposed rates are as follows:

 Present Rate:
 Proposed Rate:

 Start
 \$83.83 per week
 Start
 \$88.83 per week

 End 6 mo.
 91.57 " "
 End 6 mo.
 91.57 " "

 End 1 yr.
 94.45 " "

Please advise us as to whether or not this proposal is acceptable, and if it is we will prepare a letter of agreement.

Yours very truly,

TITISON

Director of Industrial Relations.

RJT:MC



CIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPA



245 Market Street San Francisco 6 SUtter 1=4211 November 21, 1951

International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 1245 450 Harrison Street San Francisco 5, California

Attention: Mr. W. A. Smith

Acting Business Manager

Gentlemen:

Confirming our discussions the Company proposes to adjust the salary rates of System Dispatchers and Assistant System Dispatchers to compensate for the increase in their responsibilities and to bring them into balance with other closely comparable classifications. Accordingly it is proposed to establish the following noted salary rates for such classifications, effective December 1, 1951:

<u>Title</u> Present Rate

Proposed Rate

System Dispatcher

\$449 (single rate)

\$525 per month

Asst. System Dispatcher \$377 to \$431 (range)

\$420 per month Start

End l yr.

End 2 yrs.

It is our opinion that the proposed adjustment is premissible under Section 6 of General Wage Regulation No. 5 of the Wage Stabilization Board, and therefore does not require prior approval of said Board.

If you are in accord with the foregoing and agree thereto, will you please so indicate on the duplicate original hereof and return it to me?

Yours very truly,

PACIFIC GAS AND PHETTRIC COMPANY

Manager, Personnel Department

HFC:MMC

We are in accord with the foregoing and agree thereto this 33 day of November, 1951.

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL

WORKERS, LOCAL UNION NO. 1245

Acting Business Manager. 1111